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## 1 Introduction

This report has been prepared on behalf of Hy-Tec Industries Pty Ltd to present the findings of an assessment of the existing road transport environment in the vic inity of the Austen Quamy. Hy-Tec Industries are seeking a modification to Condition 8 of Schedule 2 of Development Consent SSD 6084 to increase the a nnual transport of quary products to 1.6 million tonnes and to increase the maximum daily laden trucks from the site to 300 and increase a verage daily laden trucks from the site to 200 , averaged over the number of days in a calendarmonth. Of relevance to this Project, Hy-Tec Industries are also seeking to commence transport operation from 4.00am rather than the currently a pproved start time of 5.00am.

It is noted that Hy-Tec Industries is also proposing minor modifications to the existing approved extraction area and overburden emplacement and subsequent modification to biodiversity offsetting obligations. These final two matters are not addressed in this report.

Austen Quamy is located 3.5 kilometres (km) south-southwest of Hartley village and 10 km south of Lithgow; a pproximately 100km west of Sydney (see Figure 2.1). Austen Quamy is accessed via the Quarry AccessRoad offJenolan Caves Road.

The aim of this report is to present background information regarding the road transport environment in the vic inity of the Austen Quamy, and identify a ny issues or constraints regarding the road transport environment which may influence the proposed increase of daily laden trucks from the site for the Project.

The results of traffic surveys between 4.00am and 5.00am have also been included to provide an overview of existing traffic at this time.

The rema inder of this report is set out as follows:

- Section 2 presents a brief overview of the proposed modification, to provide the context of possible effects on the road transport environment.
- Section 3 desc ribes the existing road environment conditions in the vic inity of Austen Quary, including the road network, traffic volumes and composition, historic al growth in traffic, road safety history, a nd the capacity of the road network.
- Section 4 disc usses traffic impacts that the intensified operation may impose on the surrounding road network.
- Section 5 disc usses the mitigation measures that are required to manage the traffic impacts on the road network.
- Section 6 draws a conclusion on the road transport assessment.


## 2 Background to the Project

### 2.1 Site Location

The Austen Quarry is accessed from a sealed access road ("the Quary Access Road") which intersects with J enolan Caves Road 4.2 km south of the intersection of J enolan Caves Road with the Great Westem Highway. The Quary Access Road extends southwards and then eastwards from J enolan Caves Road, crossing Yorkeys Creek (an ephemeral tributary of Coxs River) at an elevated culvert crossing, before entering the secondary processing area of the Quary via the incoming weighbridge. The site location is shown in in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Site Location and its Surrounding Environment


Base map source: Google Map 2017

### 2.2 Existing Austen Quamy Operations

The Austen Quary is a hard rock quamy that has been operational since 1995 under the original development consent which was granted by Lithgow City Council in March
1995. Development Consent SSD 6084 wasgranted in J uly 2015 to pemit an extension of the Quamy and operations under this consent commenced in September 2016.

The Austen Quary hasa pproval to despatch up to 1.1 million tonnesper annum (Mtpa) of products until March 2050. Products a re currently despatched between 5.00am and 10.00pm Monday to Friday, and between 5.00am and 3.00pm on Saturdays, public holidays excluded.

Product transportation is largely influenced by customer requirements and so varies from day to day, using a variety of truck configurations, depending on the customer and the destinations of the product. Transportation routes are generally determined by the destinations. However, products destined for the Sydney metrop olitan a rea a re generally despatc hed with artic ulated trucks, or 19m long B-Doubles. Deliveries to local road works projectstend to be undertaken using smaller volume rigid trucks, with a capacity of less than 15 tonnes ( t ). All trucks travelling to and from the Quarry use Jenolan Caves Road to reach the Great Westem Highway.

The Austen Quarry's Driver C ode of Conduct sets out requirements of all truck drivers approaching, leaving, and being loaded at the Austen Quamy to provide safe standard procedures and guidelines. The plan aims to maximise the safety of road users both inside the Quary and on public roads, ensure compliance with applicable legislation, sta ndards, codes, licences, and approvals, and to result in no significant traffic incidents or delays caused by quamy-related traffic movements.

Hy-Tec operates a driver and vehicle check system at the Austen Quary (and all of its operations). Hy-Tec developed the standard, Hy-Tec Cha in of Responsibility Driver/Vehicle Checks, which applies to any person involved in consigning; packing; loading; driving; operating a business which controlsthe use of a commercial vehicle a nd rec eiving goods or freight. This standard addresses legal obligations relating to drivers, vehicles, roads and route selection and vehicle operations (e.g. fatigue management, vehicle mass and load compliance, load restraint, daily vehicle checks). A Driver Fatigue Manual hasbeen produced and issued to all Hy-Tec drivers as well as everyone with links to the Cha in of Responsibility. A systematic and documented approach has been developed to check compliance of all drivers, be they $\mathrm{Hy}-\mathrm{Tec}$ drivers or contra ctors.

An adequate amount of informal on-site parking isprovided on site to meet the demands of employees and visitors. Due to the Quary's isolated location and the operating hours of the Quary, all employees/visitors travel to and from the Quamy is by car.

### 2.3 The Proposal

The current production and transportation limits are specified in Condition 8 of Sc hedule 2 of SSD-6084 as follows:

The Applicant shall not:
(a) transport more than 1.1 million tonnes of qua my products from the site during a ny financial year
(b) dispatch more than 250 laden trucks from the site on a ny one day; and
(c) dispatch more than 150 laden trucks from the site perday, a veraged over the total number of dispatch days in a ny calendarmonth.

Increasing demand for the products of the Quamy requires that the Company seek a modification to Condition 8 to inc rease the annual transport of qua my products from 1.1 to 1.6 Mtpa tonnesto increase the maximum daily laden trucks from the site to 300 and to increase average daily laden trucks from the site to 200 , averaged over the total number of dispatch days in any calendar month.

In a ddition, recent experience with transport operations between Hartley a nd Sydneybased destinations indicates that the peak hours for vehic les travelling towards Sydney are occuming earlier in the day. Hy-Tec Industries is proposing to commence product despatch earlier in the day to a void the majority of delays.

## 3 Existing Road Transport Environment

This section desc ribes the existing road transport conditions in the vicinity of the Austen Quary. It presents the results of surveys conducted during February 2017, a nd reviews the history of traffic growth in the region. As the majority of traffic a miving and departing the Quary uses the Quary Access Road, J enolan CavesRoad and the Great Westem Highway, these roads are foc used in this assessment.

### 3.1 Road Network

The road network in the vic inity of the Austen Quary is described below and is shown in Figure 3.1.

Figure 3.1: Surrounding Road Network


### 3.1.1 Quary Access Road

The Quamy Access Road is a private road connecting the Austen Quary to the extemal road network. It has a single travel lane in each direction with a sealed width of approximately 10 metres $(\mathrm{m})$ with both incoming centre and road edge line-markings. It is a p proximately 3.1 km long from its intersection with J enolan Caves Road to the incoming Quamy weighbridge. It is the only vehic ularaccess for personnel and product transportation to and from the Quary. The land adjacent to the Austen Quary is leased to a contractor whose workforce also uses the Quary Access Road to access that land.

At its priority-c ontrolled intersection with J enolan Caves Road, drivers have a good sight distance of a pproximately 200 m to the left and right when exiting from the Quary Access Road. Widening of J enolan Caves Road at the intersection a ssists drivers tuming right from the Quary Access Road to do so with minimal disruption to northbound through traffic, through provision of a n a uxilia ry northbound la ne over approximately 100m. Vehiclestuming left into the Quamy Access Road use an a uxiliary deceleration lane which is approximately 70 m long.

### 3.1.2 Jenolan Caves Road

J enolan Caves Road forms part of a classified road route (253) from the Great Westem Highway near Hartley via Hampton, Jenolan Caves and Oberon to the Great Westem Highway near Bathurst. It is a State Road along this route, aside from the section between Kanangra WallsRoad via Edith to Oberon, which is a Regional Road. Jenolan Caves Road intersects with the Great Westem Highway near Hartley, approximately 11km northwest of the town of Mount Victoria. In the vic inity of the Austen Quamy, Jenolan Caves Road hasa sealed width of approximately 6.5 m with shoulders of varying widths, and typically has a single travel lane in each direction, with marked centre lines and edge lines. It is an approved route for use by heavy vehicles up to 19 m long B-Doubles, which may use the route 24 hours perday, seven days per week. It has a posted speed limit of 80 kilometres per hour (km/h).

Jenolan Caves Road providesa major tourist link between the Great Westem Highway a nd the Jenolan Caves. Traffic volumes on weekends are generally higher than weekdays.

At its priority-c ontrolled intersection with the Quamy Access Road, Jenolan Caves Road is widened to provide an a uxiliary right tum (AUR) treatment and a uxilia ry left tum (AUL) treatment, which allow through traffic on J enolan Caves Road to pass vehicles slowing to tum right or left into the Quary. Drivers on J enolan Caves Road have adequate sight distance when approaching the intersection from either direction to observe a vehicle tuming or waiting to tum at the intersection.

City of Lithgow Council has been granted $\$ 490,000$ as part of the $2016 / 17$ Black Spot funding to improve sealed shoulder to high level non-skid surface in J enolan Caves Road for up to 2.5 m on the curve south of the Great Westem Highway.

The intersection of J enolan Caves Road with the Great Westem Highwa y and Blackmans Creek Road is a four-way priority-c ontrolled intersection. A left tum deceleration lane and a right tum bay are provided on the Great Westem Highway for vehicles tuming into J enolan Caves Road.

Drivers exiting J enolan Caves Road onto the Great Westem Highway have good sight distance a vailable of approximately 200 m to the south and 400 m to the north.

### 3.1.3 Great Westem Highway

The Great Westem Highway is the major arterial road linking the Sydney metropolitan area to the Blue Mounta ins, Lithgow, Bathurst and other regional centres in the central west of New South Wales (NSW). It provides the major road freight, tourist and commercial link between Sydney and the Central West and Westem NSW, and also serves local commuting trips, local freight a nd industry a nd tourist trips.

Roadsand Maritime completed the upgrade works on the Great Westem Highway in the Blue Mounta ins in J uly 2015, including the widening the highway to four lanes between Emu Plains and Ka toomba; a nd the highway sa fety improvements between Katoomba and Mount Victoria.

The Australian and NSW Govemments are currently investing $\$ 250$ million to upgrade the Great Westem Highway between Katoomba a nd Lithgow. Some completed works included five kilometres of safety upgrades through Hartley Va lley opened to traffic at the end of December 2016. This involved the upgrade at the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves intersection, consisting the following key features:

- widening of sealed shoulder on both sides of the Great Westem Highway
- provision of a continuous left tum lane from J enolan Caves Road into the Great Westem Highway westbound and a single westbound through lane in the Great Westem Highway.
- inc reased length of right tum bay in the Great Westem Highway into J enolan Caves Road.
- relocation of the start of the westbound overtaking lane to west of J enolan Caves Road to reduce the number of traffic manoeuvres occuming at the intersection.

As westbound traffic is limited to one lane, this layout reduces the gap required for tuming right from J enolan Caves Road into the Great Westem Highway, a nd hence reduces the delay for traffic tuming from the minor road.

It is understood that traffic monitoring will commence in 2022 for any necessary upgrade at this intersection.

### 3.2 Historic Traffic Volumes

Roads and Maritime collectsdata on traffic volumes at certa in locations on the road network. Traffic data for the Great Westem Highway between Meadow Flat and Falconbridge wasobtained from the Roadsand Maritime Traffic Volume Viewer at locations asshown in in Figure 3.2.

Figure 3.2: Roads and Maritime Count Stations


### 3.2.1 Heavy Vehicles

Table 3.1 shows the Heavy vehicle percentage of the total vehic les in Great Westem Highway.

Table 3.1: Heavy Vehicle Data for Great Westem Highway (2017)

| Count <br> Station | Location | Daily Heavy Vehicle <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6105 | 60 m West of Curly Dick Road, Meadow Flat | $18 \%$ |
| 6191 | 1.41 km South of Forty Bends Road, Hartley | $20 \%$ |
| 6188 | 260 m West of Victoria Street, Mount Victoria | $17 \%$ |
| $T 0485$ | 300 m South of Carawatha Road, Blackheath | $27 \%$ |

Source: Roads and Maritime; peak hour heavy vehicle percentage based on monthly data in March 2017
The proportion of heavy vehicles on the Great Westem Highway is reported to be approximately 20 percent of total daily traffic which is consistent with this data.

### 3.2.2 Annual Average Daily Traffic

The data is expressed in terms of Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) which is an a nnualised measure of the number of vehiclescrossing a point on each road.

Historic AADTdata for roads in the vic inity of the Austen Quamy are presented in Table 3.2.

## Table 3.2: AADTData for Great Westem Highway (2015 to 2017)

| Count <br> Station | Location | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Growth Rate p.a. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6191 | 1.41 km South of Forty Bends <br> Road, Hartley | 8,699 | - | 8,687 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 6105 | 60m West of Curly Dick Road, <br> Meadow Flat | 8,177 | - | 8,487 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 6188 | $260 m$ West of Victoria Street, <br> Mount Victoria | 11,174 | - | 11,337 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $T 0485$ | 300m South of Carawatha <br> Road, Blackheath | 11,898 | 12,096 | 12,471 | $2.4 \%$ |

AADTdata foryears 1992 to 2005 are presented in Table 3.3. The data suggests that over the period for which the data is available, AADTvolumes on the Great Westem Highway have fluctuated but have generally increased steadily at an average of a pproximately 2 percent per annum. Insuffic ient data is a vailable to determine growth on J enolan Caves Road. It is noted that in more recent years, Roads and Maritime only collects traffic data in one travel direction at these count stations in the Great Westem Highway, and therefore a growth rate cannot be established for traffic in both directions.

## Table 3.3: AADTData (1992 to 2005)

| Location | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$Growth <br> Rate <br> p.a. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Westem Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hartley, West of J enolan Caves Road | 6,711 | 8,027 | 7,485 | 8,583 | 8,757 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Little Hartley, East of Cox River Road | 8,443 | 9,511 | 9,598 | 10,820 | 10,948 | $2.3 \%$ |
| East of J enolan Caves Road | 8,059 | 8,371 | 8,548 | 9,565 | 9,968 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Jenolan Caves Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oberon, East of Dudley Street | 800 | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway between Mount Victoria and Lithgow generally decrease towards the west based on volumes ${ }^{1}$ presented in the highway

[^0]upgrade assessment review (Evans and Peck, 2012). These traffic volumes are shown as follows:

- Victoria Pass 14,000 vehiclesperday
- Little Hartley 10,400 vehicles perday
- Hartley 8,800 vehic lesperday
- Forty Bends 7,900 vehiclesperday.


### 3.3 Traffic Survey Program

To quantify current traffic conditions on the immediate roads serving the subject site, a program of additional traffic surveys was commissioned by TIPP.

Automatic tube count surveys were completed over seven days between Thursday 16 February 2017 and Wednesday 1 March 2017. The tube count surveys collected vehicle volume and classific ation data at hourly intervals overa 14-day period on J enolan Caves Road and the Quarry Access Road. The locations of the tube count surveys are displayed on Figure 3.3.

Table 3.4 provides a summary of the traffic volumescollected in 2017.

Figure 3.3: Traffic Survey Location


Table 3.4: Surveyed 2017 Average Daily Two-Way Traffic Volumes (vehicles/day)

| Day and Date | Jenolan Caves Road <br> North of Quary Access <br> Road | Jenolan Caves Road <br> South of Quamy Access <br> Road | Quary Access Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | 1,534 | 889 | 371 |
| Tuesday | 1,450 | 884 | 349 |
| Wednesday | 1,422 | 902 | 302 |
| Thursday | 1,366 | 882 | 329 |
| Friday | 1,678 | 1,097 | 346 |
| Saturday | 1,657 | 1,374 | 157 |
| Sunday | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ |
| Average Weekday |  |  |  |

The surveys indicate that Quary Access Road camied between 15 and 371 vehic lesper day (two way) over the two weeks of surveys, and an average of 339 vehic les per day on weekdays. The traffic activity at the Quary differed signific a ntly between weekdays and weekend days, with an average of 157 vehic lesperday on the Saturdays and 15 vehic les per day on the Sundays. In contrast, the busiest days on J enolan Caves Road were Friday and weekend days, a nd the Quary traffic was at its lowest on weekend days. The Quary thus makes only a very minor contribution to weekend day traffic on Jenolan Caves Road compared with weekdays.

Jenolan Caves Road camed between 1,366 and 1,678 vehicles perday north of the Quary Access Road, and between 882 and 1,447 vehicles perday south of the Quary Access Road.

### 3.4 Approved B-Double Route

Both Great Westem Highway and Jenolan Caves Road are approved B-Double routes, as shown in the green lines in the Restriction Access Vehicle Map in Figure 3.4. These routes permit up to B-Double vehicles up to 19 m (over 50 tonnes).

Figure 3.4: B-Double Routes


Source: Roads and Maritime

### 3.5 Traffic Composition

The surveys described in Section 3.3 a lso provided data on the composition of traffic on the roads, using the Austroads (2004) Vehic le Classific ation System. Light vehicles include motorcycles, cars, vans, 4WDs, a nd utilities (including those towing a trailer or caravan). Heavy vehicles include single unit "rigid" trucks and buses with two to four a xles a nd a ric ulated vehic les such as semitra ilers, rigid trucks with trailers, B-doubles and road trains. Table 3.5 provides a summary of the percentage composition of the traffic on the average weekday and Saturday over the seven-day survey period.

Table 3.5: Average Daily Traffic Composition (February 2017)

| Site No. | Jenolan Caves Road <br> North of Quary <br> Access Road | Jenolan Caves Road <br> South of Quary <br> Access Road | Quamy Access Road |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehic les per Weekday |  |  |  |
| Light | 1,045 | 673 | 69 |
| Rigid | 125 | 94 | 232 |
| Articulated | 317 | 164 |  |


| Ste No. | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road | Quary Access Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,487 | 931 | 335 |
| Percent of Weekday Traffic |  |  |  |
| Light | 70\% | 72\% | 20\% |
| Rigid | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Artic ulated | 21\% | 18\% | 69\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Vehic les per Saturday |  |  |  |
| Light | 1,398 | 1,223 | 31 |
| Rigid | 135 | 112 | 12 |
| Articulated | 115 | 39 | 113 |
| Total | 1,648 | 1,374 | 155 |
| Percent of Saturday Traffic |  |  |  |
| Light | 85\% | 89\% | 20\% |
| Rigid | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Articulated | 7\% | 3\% | 73\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Note: Difference between total vehicles per weekday and Table 3.4 is due to "Class 13" classific ation unknown vehicles

Table 3.5 demonstrates the difference between the types of vehicles on the Quary Access Road and those on Jenolan CavesRoad on the average weekday and Saturday. On the average weekday, approximately 30 percent of vehic les on Jenolan Caves Road are heavy vehic les, whilst heavy vehicles were approximately 80 percent of vehic les on the Quary Access Road. On Saturdays, all heavy vehic les (including those travelling to and from Austen Quamy as well as those not associated with the Quary) accounted forbetween approximately 11 percent and 15 percent of total traffic on Jenolan Caves Road.

### 3.6 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

A review of the traffic survey results indic ates that on the average weekday, the traffic generated by the Austen Quary peaked at different times to the passing traffic on Jenolan Caves Road. Table 3.6 presents the weekday hourly volumes measured at each of the survey locations over the hours during which the Austen Quamy operates.

It is noted that approved hours for product despatch at the Austen Quary commenced at 5.00am. Heavy vehicles recorded between 4.00am and 5.00am are trucks a riving prior to being loaded for 5.00am. It is common fortrucks to park in the vic inity of the site administrative facilities until 5.00am.

Table 3.6: Average Weekday Hourly Two-Way Traffic 4.00am to 10.00pm (vehicles/hour) - February 2017

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road |  |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road |  |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total |
| 4.00-5.00 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 5.00-6.00 | 50 | 3 | 19 | 73 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 21 | 33 |
| 6.00-7.00 | 47 | 5 | 19 | 70 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 23 |
| 7.00-8.00 | 60 | 8 | 20 | 88 | 31 | 6 | 10 | 46 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 22 |
| 8.00-9.00 | 72 | 9 | 25 | 106 | 40 | 7 | 14 | 61 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 23 |
| 9.00-10.00 | 83 | 8 | 23 | 114 | 48 | 5 | 12 | 65 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 23 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 90 | 12 | 30 | 131 | 49 | 9 | 16 | 75 | 3 | 3 | 22 | 28 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 79 | 11 | 27 | 117 | 47 | 8 | 14 | 69 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 25 |
| 12.00-13.00 | 66 | 8 | 25 | 100 | 47 | 6 | 12 | 65 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 24 |
| 13.00-14.00 | 62 | 9 | 27 | 98 | 46 | 6 | 12 | 65 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 25 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 69 | 10 | 21 | 100 | 55 | 9 | 10 | 73 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 21 |
| 15.00-16.00 | 70 | 9 | 16 | 96 | 50 | 8 | 7 | 65 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 24 |
| 16.00-17.00 | 74 | 9 | 14 | 97 | 59 | 8 | 5 | 72 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 18 |
| 17.00-18.00 | 66 | 7 | 9 | 82 | 55 | 4 | 6 | 65 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 17 |
| 18.00-19.00 | 44 | 7 | 6 | 57 | 39 | 5 | 4 | 48 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 19.00-20.00 | 32 | 3 | 5 | 40 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 20.00-21.00 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 21.00-22.00 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Note: bold is the peak hour before and after midday at each survey location
The results demonstrate that on the average weekday, the Austen Quarm traffic peaks earlier in the moming and earlier in the aftemoon than the traffic on Jenolan Caves Road. The variation in hourly traffic on the Quarry Access Road is however quite low throughout the average weekday, ranging between 9 and 33 vehic les per hour
between 4.00am and 6.00pm. Overall peak hour volumes on J enolan Caves Road are relatively low, with up to 131 vehic les per hour using the road.

Traffic volumes on J enolan Caves Road are subject to tourist traffic, particularly on weekends. A review of the traffic survey results indicates that on the Saturday, the traffic generated by the Austen Quarry peaked at different times to the passing traffic on J enolan Caves Road. Table 3.7 presents the Saturday hourly volumes measured at each of the survey locations over the hours during which the Austen Quamy operates.

Table 3.7: Average Saturday Hourly Two Way Traffic 5.00am to 3.00pm (vehicles/hour)

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quamy Access Road |  |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quamy Access Road |  |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total |
| 5.00-6.00 | 35 | 2 | 16 | 53 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 25 |
| 6.00-7.00 | 45 | 4 | 10 | 59 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 13 |
| 7.00-8.00 | 51 | 11 | 9 | 70 | 42 | 6 | 4 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 11 |
| 8.00-9.00 | 91 | 8 | 8 | 107 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 84 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| 9.00-10.00 | 125 | 14 | 13 | 151 | 94 | 9 | 3 | 105 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 19 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 111 | 13 | 15 | 138 | 96 | 8 | 2 | 105 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 20 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 126 | 14 | 15 | 155 | 100 | 7 | 4 | 111 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 21 |
| 12.00-13.00 | 93 | 8 | 7 | 108 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 15 |
| 13.00-14.00 | 102 | 8 | 1 | 111 | 101 | 9 | 2 | 111 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 106 | 12 | 3 | 120 | 107 | 11 | 3 | 120 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Note bold is the peak hour before and after midday at each survey location
The results demonstrate that on Saturdays, the variation in hourly traffic on the Quamy Access Road is however quite low throughout moming, ranging between 10 and 25 vehic les per hour between 5.00am and midday. After midday, the traffic on the Quary Access Road declined to reach a very low level during the aftemoon operating hours. Overall peak hour volumes on J enolan Caves Road are relatively higher than the Quamy Access Road, a lbeit with fewer than 155 vehic les per hour using the road during the Austen Quamy operating hours, which is higher than the average weekday moming a nd aftemoon peak hours of 131 vehicles per hour and 100 vehic les perhour, respectively (Table 3.6).

### 3.7 Intersection Survey

The intersection tuming movement surveyscompleted on Thursday 16 February 2017 identified the busiest hours at the intersections of J enolan Caves Road with the Quamy Access Road and the Great Westem Highway. The peak hours at the two intersections,
which are those intersections most directly impacted by current/future quamy operation coinc ide during the peak hours, na mely, 8.15am to 9.15am, and 3.30pm to 4.30pm. These peak hours represent the hours during which the highest number of vehic les passed through each intersection during the surveyed periods, thus the times at which the operation of the intersections would be at their worst. They a re not necessa rily the peak hours associated with the movement of vehicles generated by the Quarry.

The tuming movement surveys are presented in Appendix A, and the two-way volumes recorded during the peak hours on each of the intersection approaches are summarised in Table 3.8.

## Table 3.8: Weekday Peak Hour Two Way Traffic at Intersection Approaches (vehicles/hour)

| Intersection and Approach | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Jenolan Caves Road and Great Westem Highway | $8.15 \mathrm{am}-9.15 \mathrm{am}$ | $3.30 \mathrm{pm}-4.30 \mathrm{pm}$ |
| Blackmans Creek Road | 4 | 3 |
| Great Westem Highway (East) | 536 | 619 |
| Jenolan Caves Road | 91 | 85 |
| Great Westem Highway (West) | 469 | 559 |
| Jenolan Caves Road and Quary Access Road | 83 | $3.15 \mathrm{am}-9.15 \mathrm{am}$ |
| Jenolan Caves Road (North) | 24 | 23 |
| Quary Access Road | 59 | 70 |
| Jenolan Caves Road (South) |  | 8.30 pm |

The tuming movement data at the Quary Access Road intersection (Appendix A) indic ates that during the 3 -hour moming survey periods, the Quary generated a total of 29 inbound and 25 outbound trips. During the 3 -hour evening survey period, the Quamy generated a total of 21 inbound and 32 outbound trips, over 50 percent of these tripswere associated with light vehicles in either inbound or outbound direction.

Over the survey period, all heavy vehicle movements in and out of the Quary Access Road were to and from the north. Over the six hours surveyed, a p proximately 70 percent of light vehicles generated by the Austen Quary travelled to and from the north, and 30 percent of light vehicles travelled to and from the south.

### 3.8 Austen Quarry Traffic Generation

Data wasobtained from the Austen Quary weighbridge providing information on the total number of truck loads between 1 J uly 2016 and 30 April 2017 inclusive. On the basis of this data, the average number of loads per weekday and Saturday has been calculated forthe period asa whole, and forthe days during which the traffic surveys were conducted, taking into consideration the number of operating hours each day, the variation in operating hoursbetween weekdays and Saturdays, and public holiday closures. The results are summarised in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9: Austen Quary Tuck Loads at Weighbridge

|  | Total Number of <br> Truck Loads | Average Truck <br> Loads per <br> Weekday | Average Truck <br> Loads per <br> Saturday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighbridge data <br> (1/7/ 2016 to 30/4/2017) | 27,883 | 117 | 68 |
| Weighbridge data <br> (aligned with TTPP Survey <br> Period 15/2/2017 to <br> $28 / 2 / 2017$ ) | 1,425 | 130 | 63 |

During the 10 -month period, the Austen Quary produced an average of 117 truckloads of products per weekday, and 68 truckloads of product per Saturday, which generated an average of 234 truck trips per weekday and 136 truck trips per Saturday. This is equivalent to an average of 14 truck tripsper operating hour (including despatch of loaded trucks and retum of empty trucks). Comparison between the records from the Quary and the surveyed traffic during February 2017 (Section 3.5) indic ates that the traffic surveys correlate well with the despatch records. The despatch records show that on those surveyed days, an average of 130 truckloads of products were despatched per weekday, generating 260 truck trips perweekday on the Quary Access Road and Jenolan Caves Road to the north. The traffic surveys show an average of 267 heavy vehic le trips generated perweekday over the same period, being 35 rigid truck trips and 232 artic ulated truck trips (Table 3.5). The small difference of seven truck trips per weekday is likely to be truck trips which are not associated with despatch of quary products, for example, deliveries of consumables, maintenance and repair vehicles, and contractors.

On the surveyed Saturdays, the surveyed average of 125 truck trips ( 63 truckloads) of product perday correlates well with the records from the Quary ( 63 truckloads).

Notably, the Quary is currently operating below its production limit (i.e. maximum 250 truckloads per day and average 150 truckloads).

Table 3.9 demonstrates that the level of a ctivity on the surveyed weekdays of 260 truck trips per weekday (average of less than 10 truckloads per hour over the operating
hours) was above the a verage of 234 truckloads per weekday calculated over the 10 months from J uly 2016 to April 2017. The surveyed weekdays can be considered to have covered a reasonably busy period overthe yearand are thusconsidered to be a reasonably robust basis for exa mining the existing road transport environment associated with the Austen Quamy, being both consistent with the Quamy's records and representing above a verage activity.

Light vehicle traffic generation by the Austen Quamy is the result of the workforce of 16 people amiving and departing each day, together with the a mival and departure of visitors plus/ or contractors. The surveyed a verage of light vehic le trips per weekday also includes trips associated with the movement of staff to and from the adjacent leased land, which is not related to activity at the Austen Quary. For the purpose of this a ssessment, the light vehicle traffic generated by the Austen Quamy is estimated as follows:

- 16 workers a miving and departing at start and end of shift $=32$ vehicle tripsper day
- 10 visitors or contractors a miving a nd departing on a verage weekday $=20$ vehicle trips per weekday
- 4 visitors or contractors a riving a nd departing on Saturday $=8$ vehic le trips per Saturday.

The balance of the surveyed light vehicle trips on the Quary Access Road is assumed to be the movement of staff for the adjacent site and a number of Quarry staff being required to exit and re-enter the Quamy during the day.

The surveyed traffic generated by the Austen Qua my has been a ssessed to estimate its contribution to traffic on J enolan CavesRoad on the average weekday. The resulting volumes are summarised in Table 3.10 forthe average weekday and Saturday total traffic and for the peak hours previously identified as being the busiest weekday hours associated with the Quamy traffic and Jenolan Caves Road traffic.

Table 3.10: Austen Quarry Two Way Traffic on Surveyed Roads February 2017 (vehicles/hour)

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quany Access Road |  |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quany Access Road |  |  |  | Quany Access Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00-5.00 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 5.00-6.00 | 6 | 2 | 21 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 32 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 27 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 24 |


| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quany Access Road |  |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road |  |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total | Light | Rigid | AV | Total |
| 16.00-17.00 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 17 |
| 17.00-18.00 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 16 |
| Weekday Daily Total | 50 | 35 | 232 | 317 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 59 | 35 | 232 | 325 |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00-6.00 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 24 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 21 |
| 12.00-13.00 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 15 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Saturday Daily Total | 15 | 12 | 113 | 139 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 22 | 12 | 113 | 146 |

Note: the above traffic volumes include two-way Qua my truck trips (not laden loads).
Over the two weeks of surveys, on the average weekday, the Austen Quamy contributed approximately 22 percent of the total traffic and 60 percent of heavy vehicle traffic on J enolan Caves Road north of the Quarry AccessRoad, and less than 1 percent of the total traffic on J enolan Caves Road south of the Quary Access Road. On the Saturday, the Austen Quary contributed six percent of the total traffic and 50 percent of heavy vehicle traffic on Jenolan Caves Road north of the Quary Access Road, and less than 1 percent of the total traffic on J enolan Caves Road south of the Quary access.

Thus, the Austen Quary generates a pproximately half of the heavy vehic les on J enolan Caves Road north of the Quary Access Road. Other heavy vehic les using J enolan Caves Road include tourist coaches, buses, and some trucks associated with Oberon White Granite Quary (Mudgee Stone Company) which has approval to generate around 90 two ways trips perday (AADT); Oberon Hardrock Quamy (Oberon Qua mies) which generates traffic principally to Sydney markets at a rate of up to 400000 tpa and the Highland Pine sa wmill complex at Oberon which is reported to generate just over 100 trucks perday.

### 3.9 Austen Quamy Tra ffic Distribution

The surveyed traffic volumes and Quary records provided by Hy -Tec provide information regarding how the number of trucks despatc hed varies through the day. Figure 3.5 presents the number of inbound and outbound heavy vehicle movements on the Quary Access Road throughout the average weekday, as surveyed during February 2017.

Figure 3.5: Average Weekday Heavy Vehicles on the Quary Access Road February 2017


Figure 3.5 demonstrates that the distribution of truck trips through the day is similar for inbound and outbound trucks, i.e. trucks are not typic ally held at the Quary waiting for despatch throughout the day. There is a decrease in truck trips made during the moming "commuter" peak hours, with a peak in outbound trips between 5 am and 6am, followed by a decrease in outbound trips being made between 8am and 9am.

The surveys show a small number of empty trucks a rive at the Quary prior to 5am prior to being loaded at 5.00am. It is common for trucks to park in the vic inity of the site administrative facilities until 5.00 am . Trucks proceed to the incoming weighbridge after 5am.

School zones on the Great Westem Highway operate between 8am and 9.30am, and between 2.30pm and 4pm. The Austen Quary operating hours for product despatch from 5am to 10pm allow Hy-Tec to despatch trucks earlier in the moming so as to reduce the impacts of the Quary trucks during commuter peaks and during operation of the moming school zones along the Great Westem Highway. During the aftemoon, the number of trucks despatched from the Quamy is generally lower than the moming, and the number of trucks travelling on the Great Westem Highway during the commuter peak and school zone periods is low.

Hy-Tec's mana gement of despatch times benefits the local communities in Hartley and throughout the Blue Mountains by reducing the impact of the truck traffic during the
more sensitive hours, but also benefits Hy -Tec by reducing the number of truck trips made at times when traffic speeds are lower due to either school zo ne limits or increased demand.

### 3.10 Road Safety Review

### 3.10.1 Hartley Area

Aspart of the baseline conditions assessment, validated crash data was obta ined from Roads and Maritime for the most recent five-year period available at that time, being from 1 J uly 2011 to 30 J une 2016 inc lusive.

The data is based on crashes reported to the Police, including Jenolan Caves Road between the Great Westem Highway and McKanes Falls Road, a nd McKanes Falls Road between Jenolan CavesRoad and Great Westem Highway. Over the five years, 16 crashes were reported. Of these, 11 crashes occurred between the Quarry Access Road and the Great Westem Highway, including at the intersection with the Great Westem Highway, and these are summarised in Table 3.11.

The locations of the crashes as plotted by Roads and Maritime are provided in Appendix $B$. It is noted that while all crashes are included in the graphic, some are overlaid by a crash reported at the same location. In these cases, one ormore crashes are not identified by their ID number and so may not be easily identified in the graphic. The crashes on J enolan Caves Road were typic ally on the bends to the north of the Quamy Access Road.

Table 3.11: Reported Crash Types J enolan Caves Road North of the Quary Access Road (2011 to 2016)

|  | Single Vehicles | Multiple Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Off Path, on Curve | Opposite Direction |
| Total Crashes | 10 | 1 |
| Location |  |  |
| At intersection | 0 | 1 |
| Mid-block | 10 | 0 |
| Road Surface Condition | 7 | 1 |
| Dry Road | 3 | 0 |
| Wet Road | 1 | 0 |
| Natural Lighting | 8 | 1 |
| Dawn | 1 | 0 |
| Daylight |  |  |
| Darkness |  | 1 |
| Weather | 5 | 1 |
| Fine |  | 1 |


|  | Single Vehicles | Multiple Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Off Path, on Curve | Opposite Direction |
| Fog or mist | 1 | 0 |
| Overcast | 2 | 0 |
| Raining | 2 | 0 |
| Vehicle Type |  |  |
| Motorcycle | 3 | 1 |
| Car | 4 | 0 |
| Light Truck | 1 | 0 |
| Semi-trailer | 1 | 0 |
| B-Double | 0 | 0 |
| Severity of Crash | 6 | 0 |
| Fatal | 4 | 0 |
| Injury |  | 0 |
| Non-injury | 10 | 1 |
| Factors* | 3 | 0 |
| Speed | 0 | 0 |
| Fatigue | 1 |  |
| None |  |  |
| *More than one factorcan be nominated fora single crash | 0 |  |

The majority of crashes involved a single vehicle leaving the camiageway and typically striking an object such as an embankment. Speed was a main contributing factor in all of these crash types, and all occurred on bendson J enolan Caves Road.

The head-on crash involved a motorcycle and large rigid vehicle in Jenolan Caves Road north of the Glenroy Bridge. The recordssuggest that this fatal event involved the motorcycle travelling on the incorrect side of the road.

The reported crashes occurred between 6.20am and 8.15 pm , although over 50 percent of the crashes ( 6 crashes) occurred around mid-day between 11.22am and 13.42pm. This suggests that icy road conditions were not a contributing factor to crashes on Jenolan Caves Road. Two of these crashes occured when the road surface was wet. The fatal event occurred in fine weather conditions during mid-day at 12.50 pm .

The review of the history of crashes on J enolan Caves Road indicates that although there is no specific location (such as an intersection) with a partic ularly poor record, the speed of vehicles on bends to the north of Austen Quary have resulted in drivers losing control of their vehicle.

The crash record indicatesthat heavy vehicles do not appearto contribute to the history of crashes in J enolan Caves Road. Hy-Tec Industries have confimed that there have been no crashes involving Quamy vehicles.

### 3.10.2 Blue Mounta ins Area

Validated crash data was also obtained from the Roads and Maritime for all crashes on the Great Westem Highway between Lapstone and Lithgow for the most recent fiveyear period a vailable, being 1 J uly 2011 to 30 J une 2016 inc lusive. A total of 1,111 c rashes were reported, as follows:

- 8 fatal crashes, which resulted in 9 fatalities
- 589 injury crashes, which resulted in 789 people being injured
- 514 non-casualty crashes.

Crashes are identified by a coding system which groupscrash typesinto general categories such as intersection, overtaking or off path. They are then further categorised into specific crash types, such as intersection cross traffic, overtaking cutting in, off path on straight to left, or off path to left on right bend into object. Review of the data reveals the following key findings:

- The single most common general crash type was rear-end type crashes. These a ccounted for 34 percent of all c rashes ( 380 crashes).
- The next most common general crash type was of single vehicles which lost control and left the camiageway. These accounted for 31 percent of all crashes ( 347 crashes).
- The third most common general c rash type was intersection-type crashes, which a ccounted for 28 percent of all c rashes ( 306 crashes).
- 110 c rashes, i.e., 10 percent of all crashes, involved a rigid, a rticulated truck or a BDouble. Of these, a pproximately 39 percent were rear end type crashes, 25 percent involved single vehicles which left the camiageway, 15 percent involved vehicleschanging lane, and 3 percent were head on crashes.
- Pedestrians were involved in two crashes.
- Speed was nominated as a contributing factor in 35 percent of crashes, and fatigue was nominated as a contributing factor in 8 percent of crashes, noting these factors a re not mutua lly exc lusive.
- 38 percent of crashes ( 420 c rashes) occurred on a wet road surface and 0.5 percent ( 5 crashes) occurred on a snow oriced road surface.
- 29 percent ( 321 crashes) oc curred during rain, 10 percent ( 116 c rashes) oc curred when overcast, and 3 percent ( 29 crashes) occurred during fog or mist.
- 28 percent of crashes occurred on weekend days, a nd 72 percent on weekdays.
- The worst hours of the day forcrashes were $3 p m$ to $4 p m$ ( 8.3 percent), $1 p m$ to $2 p m$ ( 8.1 percent), 4 pm to 5 pm ( 7.7 percent) a nd 5 pm to 6 pm ( 6.8 percent).

The locations of all crashes along the Great Westem Highway between La pstone and Lithgow are also presented in Appendix A.

### 3.11 Roadway Capacity and Efficiency

The capacity of a road is defined asthe maximum hourly rate at which vehiclescan reasonably be expected to traverse a point or uniform section of a lane orroadway during a given time period under the prevailing roadway, traffic and control conditions. The capacity of a single traffic lane will be affected by factors such asthe pavement width and restricted lateral clearances, the presence of heavy vehicles and grades.

Level of Service (LOS) is defined asa qualitative measure describing the operational conditions within a traffic stream asperceived by drivers and/orpassengers. A LOS definition generally describes these conditions in terms of factors such as speed and travel time, freed om to ma noeuvre, traffic intemuptions, comfort, convenience and safety. LOS A provides the best traffic conditions, with no restriction on desired travel speed or overtaking. LOS B, C and D describe progressively worse traffic conditions. LOS E occurs when traffic conditions are at or close to capacity, and there is virtually no freedom to select desired speeds orto manoeuvre in the traffic stream. The service flow rate for LOSE is taken as the capacity of a lane orroadway.

Austroads (2013) provides guid elines for the capacity of two lane, two-way rural roads, which in tum, refers to the Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board [TRB], 2010). TRB (2010) distinguishes between different categories of two la ne two-way roads, with ClassI being roads on which motorists expect to travel at rela tively high speeds. They most often serve long-distance trips or provide connecting links between facilities that serve long-distance trips. Class Il roads are those on which motorists do not necessa rily expect to travel at high speeds, and may function as access routes to Class I facilities, serve asscenic or rec reational routes or pass through rugged terrain.

On this basis, J enolan Caves Road is considered a Class II road. The LOS for Class II roads is defined only by percent-time-spent-following (PTSF). The LOS criteria for Class II two-lane highways are as shown in Table 3.12.

Table 3.12: Automobile LOS for Two-Lane Highway (Class II)

| LOS | Percent Time Sent Following PRSF (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 40$ |
| B | $>40-55$ |
| C | $>55-70$ |
| D | $>70-85$ |
| E | $>85$ |

TRB (2010) presents detailed methodsfor calculating the PTSF, however it a lso presents a basic relationship between traffic flow rate and PTSF for base conditionson a twoway road. This indic ates that below a two-way peak hourly two-way volume of a round 650 vehic les per hour, the PTSF would typic ally be below 40 percent, a nd LOS would be A for Class Il roads (refer to Table 3.12). Nevertheless, the PTSF forJ enolan Caves Road has been assessed based on the surveyed traffic conditions.

The PTSF is estimated from the demand traffic volumes, the directional distribution of that traffic, and the percentage of no-passing zones. As a general review of the existing Levels of Service on the subject roads, the following a ssumptions/ estimates have been made in calculating the PTSF:

- The passenger-car equiva lent for heavy vehic les for calc ulation of PTSF 1.8 - this is a factor which is used to take into account the influence of heavy vehicles on the flow of traffic on a road, assessing each heavy vehicle as multiple of passenger cars. This factor applies where two-way traffic volumes are below 600 passenger car units per hour ( $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), and assumes that the terra in causes heavy vehicles to reduce their speeds substantially below that of passengercars, but not to operate at crawl speeds for any signific ant length of time or at frequent intervals.
- 100 percent no-passing opportunities along the routes, i.e. along the route, drivers would be restricted from passing a nother vehicle for the whole length of J enolan CavesRoad between the Quary Access Road and the Great Westem Highway. Jenolan Caves Road hasa single travel lane in each direction with no overta king la nes between the Quary Access Road and the Great Westem Highway, so restrictions on overtaking would generally be as a result of centre line marking which prevents drivers from crossing to the wrong side of the camageway to overtake due to sight distance or other constra ints.

On this basis, the surveyed volumes have been converted to passenger-car units, and the PTSF and Levels of Service results estimated in Table 3.13.

Table 3.13: PISF and Levels of Senvice

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hour <br> Starting | pc/hr <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS | Hour <br> Starting | pc/hr <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North <br> of Qua my Access Road | $10 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 164 | 35.4 | A | 12 pm | 127 | 32.6 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South <br> of Qua my Access Road | $10 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 95 | 32.0 | A | 2 pm | 87 | 31.4 | A |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North <br> of Qua my Access Road | $11 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 178 | 39.5 | A | 2 pm | 131 | 36.9 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South <br> of Qua my Access Road | $10 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 112 | 35.4 | A | 1 pm | 119 | 35.9 | A |

The results in Table 3.13 indicate that, based on the assumptions disc ussed above, the surveyed locations would be expected to experience good Levels of Service with regard to roadway efficiency and delays during the busiest hours.

It should be noted that this LOS is a general measure of the vehicle operating conditions on the roads with regard to the number of vehicles and their potential for interaction with each other. It does not reflect the existing road pavement conditions.

### 3.12 Intersection Operation

The operation of the key intersections within the study area have been assessed using SIDRA Intersection 7, a computer based modelling package which assesses intersection performance under prevailing traffic conditions.

The operating characteristicscan be compared with the performance criteria set out in Table 3.14. It is noted that average delay pervehicle is expressed in secondsper vehicle and is measured for the movement with the highest average delay pervehicle at prionity intersections such as the two surveyed intersections on J enolan Caves Road.

### 3.13 Performance of Key Intersections

### 3.13.1 Model Performance Indicators

SIDRA Intersection 7 modelling provides several useful indic ators to determine the level of intersection performance.

Level of Service (LOS) is a basic performance parameter used to describe the operation of an intersection. Levels of service indic ators range from A (indicating good
intersection operation) to $F$ (indicating over-saturated conditions with long delays and queues). At priority controlled (give-way and stop controlled) and roundabout intersections, the LOS is based on the modelled delay (seconds per vehicle) for the most delayed movement (refer to Table 3.14).

Table 3.14: Level of Senvice

| Level of Service | Average Delay (seconds per vehicle) | Traffic Signals, Roundabout | Give Way and Stop Signs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Less than 14 | good operation | good operation |
| B | 15 to 28 | good with acceptable delays and spare capacity | acceptable delays and spare capacity |
| C | 29 to 42 | satisfactory | satisfactory, but accident study required |
| D | 43 to 56 | operating nearcapacity | nearcapacity and accident study required |
| E | 57 to 70 | at capacity <br> At signals, incidents will cause excessive delays. | at capacity, requires other control mode |
| F | Greater than 71 | unsatisfactory with excessive queuing | unsatisfactory with excessive queuing; requires other control mode |

Source: Roads and Maritime Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, 2002

### 3.13.2 Intersection Performance

SIDRA Intersection 7.0 modelling summa rised the existing LOS during the AM and PM peaks for the J enolan CavesRoad and the Quamy Access Road intersection, with the worst average delay goveming the intersection LOS. This is shown below in Table 3.15 and Appendix $C$ in detail.

Table 3.15: Existing Intersection Level of Senvice

| Approach | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Senvice | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Senvice |
| Jenolan CavesRoad/ Quamy <br> Access Road | 12 | A | 13 | A |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan <br> CavesRoad | 25 | B | 21 | B |

Both intersec tions currently operate sa tisfa ctorily at LOS B or better during both the AM and PM peak hours.

### 3.14 Pedestrians

There are no formal pedestrian footpaths on either side of the road in the vic inity of the subject site. However, pedestrian a ctivity a long J enolan Caves Road and the Quary Access Road is negligible and formal facilities are not wa manted along these roads.

### 3.15 Bus Services

There are a number of private bus operators with regular bus servic es operating between Mount Victoria, Lithgow and Oberon in the vic inity of the Quamy. These bus operatorsare:

- Blue Mountains Bus Company (school buses)
- Lithgow BusLines (sc hool buses)
- NSW TrainLink.

Regular bus servic es a long J enolan Caves Road are summarised in Table 3.16 below.
Table 3.16: Peak Period Frequency of Bus Services on J enolan Caves Road

| Bus Operator | 7am to 9am | 3pm to 5pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lithgow Bus Lines (during peak periods) | 2 | 2 |
| NSW Tra inLink (during peak periods) | 2 | 1 |
| NSW Train Link (during off-peak period) | 1 | 2 |

A Blue Mounta ins Bus Company school bus also runs a long the Great Westem Highway between Lithgow and the Upper Blue Mounta ins in the moming and aftemoon. Suburbs with schools included in the service are:

- Blackheath
- Blaxland
- Faulc onbridge
- Glenbrook
- Hazelbrook
- Katoomba
- Lapstone
- Lawson
- Leura
- Lithgow
- Mount Riverview
- Mount Victoria
- Springwood
- Wa mimoo
- Wentworth Falls
- Winmalee.

Approximately 60 bus routes service schools within these suburbs before and after school times. There are one to two services per route in the moming and aftemoon periods.

In addition, there are a number of sight-seeing tour buseswhich operate to J enolan Caves along Jenolan Caves Road and within the Blue Mountains. These operate infrequently and during off-peak times.

## 4 Future Road Transport Environment

Changescan be expected to occurto the operation of the road network currently used by vehic les travelling to and from the Austen Quary which are unrelated to the proposed modific ations, and so would occur regardless of the status of the modified operations. These are discussed in this section, which considers the future road network conditions for the following future assessment years:

- 2022: commencement of monitoring at the intersection of Jenolan Caves Road with Great Westem Highway.
- 2035: Roads and Ma ritime's tra ffic forecast year is up to 2035 for the Great Westem Highway Upgrade project.

It is acknowledged that 2050 is the expiry year under the current approval and would not change under the proposed modific ations. However approved extraction activities may occurfaster under the modified consent due to the increased annual production.

### 4.1 Background Traffic Growth

The technical paper (Mount Victoria to Lithgow Alliance, 2012b) prepared as part of the assessment process for the works a long the Great Westem Highway presented the following forecasts of expected traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway near Forty Bends.

Table 4.1: Traffic Forec asts on the Great Westem Highway near Forty Bends (2-way)

| Year | Daily (vehicle/day) |  | AM Peak (vehicle/day) |  | AM Peak (vehicle/day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound | Westbound | Eastbound | Westbound | Eastbound | Westbound |
| 2011 | 3,950 | 3,950 | 220 | 260 | 350 | 300 |
| 2015 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 230 | 270 | 380 | 320 |
| 2022 | 4,725 | 4,725 | 258 | 305 | 429 | 362 |
| 2025 | 4,950 | 4,950 | 270 | 320 | 450 | 380 |
| 2035 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 320 | 390 | 530 | 450 |

Source: Mount Victoria to Lithgow Alliance (2012b)
These forecasts suggest that over the period from 2011 to 2035, traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway are expected to increase by an average of approximately 2 percent peryear. Traffic counts over the six years to 2012 indicate that heavy vehicle movements have been growing at a rate of about 1.3 percent per annum and light vehicle movement have been growing at a rate of about 1.7 percent per annum (Mt Victoria to Lithgow Alliance, 2012c). Therefore, adoption of a 2 percent perannum growth rate for both light and heavy vehic les is therefore considered to be robust.

Based on the existing traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway at various loc ations presented in Section 3.2, and the daily and peak hourly forecasts for the Great Westem Highway at Forty Bends (Table 4.1) from the Roads and Maritime works for the highway upgrade program, two way traffic volumes at locations on the Great Westem Highway have been developed. Table 4.2 presents the daily and peak hour forecasts for the same forec ast years up to 2035, as well as interpolated results for 2022.

Table 4.2: Traffic Forec asts on the Great Westem Highway near Forty Bends (2-way)

| Location | 2011 AB | 2015 A | 2022 | 2025 A | 2035 A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily (vehic les/ day) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forty Bends | 7,900 | 8,400 | 9,450 | 9,900 | 11,800 |
| Hartley | 8,800 | 9,400 | 10,590 | 11,100 | 13,200 |
| Little Hartley | 10,400 | 11,100 | 12,500 | 13,100 | 15,600 |
| Victoria Pass | 14,000 | 14,900 | 16,790 | 17,600 | 21,000 |
| AM Peak (vehic les/ hour) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forty Bends | 480 | 500 | 563 | 590 | 710 |
| Hartley | 530 | 560 | 630 | 660 | 790 |
| Little Hartley | 630 | 660 | 744 | 780 | 940 |
| Victoria Pass | 850 | 890 | 1,002 | 1,050 | 1,260 |
| PM Peak (vehic les/ hour) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forty Bends | 650 | 700 | 791 | 830 | 980 |
| Hartley | 720 | 780 | 885 | 930 | 1,100 |
| Little Hartley | 860 | 930 | 1,049 | 1,100 | 1,300 |
| Victoria Pass | 1,150 | 1,240 | 1,408 | 1,480 | 1,740 |

A RMS daily forecasts
B RMS peak hourly forecasts

A number of reports prepared by orfor Roads and Maritime (GHD 2006, Transport \& Urban Planning 2009, Roads and Traffic Authority 2006, a nd GHD 2002) document traffic forec asts along the Great Westem Highway to the east of the Austen Quary. It is noted that the reports were prepared between 2002 and 2009, a nd so predate the forecasts in Table 4.1, a nd the observed growth rates of 1.3 and 1.7 percent per annum for light and heavy vehicles respectively disc ussed above. The reports suggested that the likely traffic growth on the Great Westem Highway between Woodford a nd Wentworth Falls would be about 2.2 to 2.4 percent per annum until 2030 . The data has been interpolated or extrapolated where required to generate forecasts for the same future
time horizons as in Table 4.2. An extract showing the original forecasts from each of the reports are presented in Appendix D.

Notably, these are general forecasts which do not specific ally consider the Austen Quamy traffic or the relative levels of a ctivity at the Quary. It is considered that these forecasts in Table 4.2 should be assumed to relate to average day traffic associated with the Austen Quary, i.e. generation of 260 truck trips per day in 2017 on the Great Westem Highway east of J enolan Caves Road assuming all Quamy traffic head east. Furthemore, it is assumed that these general forecasts assume the transport task of the Austen Quary would not change signific antly overtime.

### 4.2 Changes to the Road Network

As of December 2017, Roads and Maritime hascompleted detailed design of the Katoomba to Mount Vic toria safety upgrade and expects to start construction in mid 2018. Generally, works involve reduced speed limits in towns, upgrade of various intersections, widening sealed road shoulders, sight distance improvement and installation of safety ba miers.

Pedestrian and cyclist facilities would also be upgraded along the Great Westem Highway, with the provision of new or upgraded pedestrian crossing facilities, footpath and off road shared paths at various locations.

### 4.3 Austen Quamy Traffic Generation

### 4.3.1 Heavy Vehicles

Hy-Tec Industriesare proposing to increase annual qua my production and associated despatch limits compared to existing limits, as shown in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Changes in Annual Product and Truck Despatch Limits

| Limit | CurentApproval | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual production | 1.1 Mtpa | 1.6 Mtpa |
| Daily maximum laden truck loads despatched | 250 | 300 |
| Daily average laden truck loads despatched | 150 | 200 |

The increased transport of quamy products from 1.1 to 1.6 Mtpa would result in an increase of the maximum daily laden trucks from the site to 300 and the average daily la den trucks from the site to 200. Given recent improvements in truck capacity and safety, Hy-Tec Industries is comfortable that the proposed traffic levels will be suffic ient to manage the larger quantity of materials produced.

As these daily figures are averaged over the total number of despatch days in a ny calendar month, the actual da ily loads would fluctuate throughout the year. Based on 2016-2017 weighbridge data, it is estimated that a maximum of 480 truck trips ( 240 trucksloads) would occur on a peak day on a weekday on a pro-rata basis, which are estimated to occur less than 10 days per year. For Saturdays, it is estimated that up to 260 truck trips ( 130 trucks loads) would occur on a peak day that would occur for one to two Saturdays over the year.

On days when there are higher numbers of truck trips made, these trips include a number of smaller rigid vehic les with lower capacity rather than the larger a rtic ulated vehicles.

The above traffic generation estimates a re considered conservative for peak future conditions. The assessment which follows is based on this peak day activity, i.e. 480 truck trips on a weekday and 260 truck trips on a Saturday, with the majority of c ustomers located in the Sydney metropolitan a rea where 32.5Ttrucks would typically be used. For deliveries to local areas, smaller trucks with a capacity of 15T would be used. Conservatively, the assessment has been assumed 90 percent of the Quary trucks are artic ulated or B-double trucks, a nd the remaining 10 percent are rigid trucks. With a combination of Sydney and local customers, on a peak day there would be up to 300 loads perday, generating 600 truck trips perday as shown in Table 4.3. This higher trip generation is likely to occur less than 10 days peryear.

### 4.3.2 Light Vehic les

Hy-Tec Industriesisalso proposing to commence product despatch earier in the day to a void the majority of delays in the AM peak period. Instead of the current 5.00am start, it is proposed to start one hour earlier on weekdays. As such, the proposed hours of loading and despatch are:

- 4.00am to 10.00pm Monday to Friday
- 5.00am to 3.00pm Saturdays
- At no time on Sundays or public holidays.

Based on the above operating hours, the site would generate an average of 17 laden trucks per hour during the 18 operating hours on a weekday. The hourly distribution of the trucks has been derived using the existing profile (Figure 3.5) as a base, with adjustment made to the proposed operating hours. Notably, the on-site loading capacity is up to 20 trucks per hour, equating to three minutes pertruck, therefore it is a nticipated that the hourly traffic generation would not exceed 20 laden trucks per hour.

The increase in product despatch would require up to nine additionalemployees, and is assumed to increase the number of visitors a nd contactors visiting the site each day,
which would increase the light vehicle traffic generation. The number of workers, contractors and visitors are shown as follows in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: Light Vehicle Traffic Generation

| Light vehicle trip type | Weekday | Weekend | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Worker or regular contractors | 16-day shift workers resulting in 32 light vehicle trips <br> 10 night shift workers resulting in 20 light vehicle trips | 16 day shift workers resulting in 32 light vehicle trips | On weekdays, 2 workers generally start at 5.00am with the rest a miving before 6.00 am . The day shift finishes as 5.00 pm with those workers that started at 5.00 am leaving around 4.00 pm . <br> The same applies to Saturday, except the day shift starts one hourlater than a weekday. No night shift on Saturdays. <br> The night shift starts between 2.00 pm and 3.00 pm and continues to 10.00 pm . Up to 5 workers will stay on for maintenance work until 2.00am but may be aslate as 6.00am. |
| Visitor or contractor | 10 visitors or contractors resulting in 20 light vehicle trips | 4 visitors or contractors resulting in 8 light vehicle trips | Visitors and contractors a mive at the site throughout the working hours, a veraging up to 2 trips(two-way) perhour on a weekday and a Saturday. |

### 4.3.3 Total Traffic Generation

Table 4.5 provides a summary of the future peak weekday and Saturday traffic generated by the Austen Quary and its distribution on Jenolan Caves Road during the peak hours previously identified. This assumes that the Quary traffic is spread through the day with a maximum of 40 heavy vehicles (2-way) perhour in Quary Access Road based on its maximum product despatch levels per hour.

Table 4.5: Peak Daily Two Way Austen Quary Traffic Year (vehic les/ hour)

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North <br> of Quany Access Road |  | Jenolan Caves Road South <br> of Quary Access Road |  | Quary Access Road |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4.00-5.00$ | 2 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| $5.00-6.00$ | 16 | 14 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 30 |
| $6.00-7.00$ | 5 | 18 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 23 |
| $10.00-11.00$ | 2 | 39 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 41 |
| $11.00-12.00$ | 2 | 38 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 40 |
| $16.00-17.00$ | 2 | 40 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 44 |


| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quany Access Road |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quany Access Road |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total |
| 17.00-18.00 | 8 | 28 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 28 | 44 |
| Weekday Total (vehicles/day) | 48 | 432 | 480 | 26 | 48 | 74 | 74 | 480 | 554 |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00-6.00 | 12 | 31 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 31 | 47 |
| 6.00-7.00 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 1 | 31 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 31 | 33 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 1 | 30 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 32 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Saturday Total (vehicles/day) | 44 | 234 | 278 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 44 | 260 | 304 |

Peak day with Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days peryear.

The above traffic volumes include two-way Quary truck trips (not by laden loads).

### 4.4 Future Traffic Volumes

### 4.4.1 Year 2022

Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2 depict the indicative peak daily traffic generation superimposed on the 2022 baseline traffic volume, with a traffic growth of 2 percent per a nnum a pplied to the non-Quarry traffic in J enolan Caves Road (north of the Quamy Access Road). This a ssumes that any growth in non-Quary traffic would occur a cross the day in proportion to the existing traffic volumes, i.e. a 10 percent increase in total weekday traffic would result in a 10 percent increase in hourly traffic foreach and every hour of the day. In reality, additional traffic is more likely to spread through the day, lengthening the time over which peak volumes oc cur rather than proportionally increasing the peak volume.

It is assumed that the weekday traffic currently generated by the adjacent leased land would continue in the future while the Austen Quary operates, and would increase at the same rate of 2 percent per annum as the other traffic not associated with the Austen Qua my operations.

Figure 4.1: Peak Daily Traffic Generation on Weekdays (2022) - 2-Way Movements


Figure 4.2: Peak Daily Traffic Generation on Saturdays (2022) - 2-Way Movements


The hourly profiles in Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2 show that the traffic generation generally decreases during the AM and PM peak hours and spreads across the day similar to the existing hourly distribution. The transportation load eases one to two hours before the end of the permitted transport hours. A small number of light vehic les would leave the site after the night shift (10.00pm).

Table 4.6 demonstrates that with the combined effects of background growth and peak day activity at the Austen Quamy, J enolan Caves Road would camy up to a pproximately 1,830 vehic les per day on a weekday and 1,950 vehic les perday on a Sa turday to the north of Austen Quamy in 2022.

Table 4.6: Peak Day Two Way Traffic in 2022 (vehic les/ hour)

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quany Access Road |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00-5.00 | 25 | 44 | 69 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 40 | 42 |
| 5.00-6.00 | 64 | 39 | 104 | 14 | 10 | 23 | 17 | 40 | 57 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 99 | 52 | 150 | 54 | 28 | 82 | 3 | 33 | 36 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 86 | 47 | 134 | 51 | 24 | 75 | 3 | 30 | 33 |
| 16.00-17.00 | 81 | 33 | 114 | 65 | 14 | 79 | 5 | 22 | 27 |
| 17.00-18.00 | 75 | 25 | 100 | 67 | 11 | 78 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| Weekday Total (vehicles/day) | 1,155 | 673 | 1,828 | 743 | 283 | 1,027 | 84 | 480 | 564 |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00-6.00 | 50 | 30 | 80 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 17 | 31 | 48 |
| 6.00-7.00 | 47 | 31 | 79 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 122 | 39 | 162 | 106 | 10 | 116 | 2 | 31 | 33 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 141 | 40 | 181 | 109 | 12 | 120 | 2 | 30 | 32 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 117 | 21 | 138 | 117 | 15 | 132 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Saturday Total (vehicles/day) | 1,555 | 397 | 1,952 | 1,347 | 166 | 1,512 | 54 | 260 | 314 |

Peak day with Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days peryear.

Note: light vehicles in Quarm Access Road include traffic to and from the neighbouring property.
Table 4.7 presents indic ative future traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway in 2022. These are based on the forecastson the Great Westem Highway presented by

Roads and Maritime, and adjusted to reflect the increased truck trips, work/ contractor vehicle trips associated with the Quamy operation. The Quamy products transported to the east are assumed to travel to the Sydney metropolitan area, i.e. through the Blue Mountains, via the Great Westem Highway. There are a small number of truck trips assigned to the west for local customer deliveries via the Great Westem Highway. It has been assumed that 90 percent of Quarry trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway, with the rema ining 10 percent travel to/from west via Great Westem Highway.

Table 4.7: Indicative Peak Day Traffic Volumes on the Great Westem Highway 2022 (2-way)

| Location | AM Peak (vehicles/ hour) | PM Peak (vehicles/ hour) | Daily (vehicles/ day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Flat | 614 | 778 | 9,512 |
| Hartley | 557 | 730 | 9,732 |
| Mount Victoria | 763 | 949 | 12,647 |
| Blackheath | 801 | 1,054 | 13,895 |
| Forty Bends | 579 | 796 | 9,656 |
| Hartley | 646 | 890 | 10,796 |
| Little Hartley | 760 | 1,054 | 12,706 |
| Victoria Pass | 1,018 | 1,413 | 16,996 |
| Medlow Bath | 1,226 | 1,227 | 18,250 |
| Leura | 2,083 | 2,294 | 32,791 |
| Bulla bura | 1,801 | 1,851 | 25,410 |
| Faulconbridge | 2,348 | 2,497 | 31,198 |

Based on the Roads and Maritime traffic data (Table 3.1), on average heavy vehicles made up 20 percent of total traffic on the Great Westem Highway in 2017. The contribution of the Quamy traffic on the Great Westem Highway is summarised in Table 4.8 for a peak day in 2022.

Table 4.8: Indicative Peak Day Heavy Vehicles on the Great Westem Highway 2022 (2-way)

| Location | Peak Hour (heavy vehicles/ hour) |  | Daily (heavy vehicles/day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Quamy | Total | Quany |
| Meadow Flat | 156 | 2 | 1,902 | 48 |
| Hartley (west of Jenolan CavesRoad) | 146 | 2 | 1,946 | 48 |
| Mount Victoria | 190 | 20 | 2,529 | 432 |
| Blackheath | 211 | 20 | 2,779 | 432 |
| Forty Bends | 159 | 20 | 1,931 | 432 |
| Hartley | 178 | 20 | 2,159 | 432 |
| Little Hartley | 211 | 20 | 2,541 | 432 |
| Victoria Pass | 283 | 20 | 3,399 | 432 |
| Medlow Bath | 245 | 20 | 3,650 | 432 |
| Leura | 459 | 22 | 6,558 | 432 |
| Bulla burra | 370 | 22 | 5,082 | 432 |
| Faulconbridge | 499 | 20 | 6,240 | 432 |

Austen Quamy operating at 1.1Mtpa that would only be likely to oc cur on less than 10 days per year. Assumes background weekday traffic is 20 percent heavy vehicles.

These results demonstrate that the contribution of the Austen Quary to total heavy vehic les on the Great Westem Highway on peak days would dec rease through the Blue Mounta ins to the east. The overall proportion of heavy vehic les on the Great Westem Highway would remain at a similar level to the existing situation, with an increase from 20 percent to approximately 21 percent heavy vehicles on the peak days of activity at the Austen Quamy, that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days per year.

### 4.4.2 Year2035

Under the current development consent, the Austen Quary will continue to operate until 2050, after which it would be dec ommissioned. However, it is acknowledged that completion of approved extraction activities may occur faster under the modified consent.

The a ssessment year is beyond the typic al 10-year planning horizon required by Roads and Maritime, and is therefore ensure a robust review of the potential future traffic on key routes. As above, a growth rate of 2 percent per annum has been applied, which is
consistent with forec asts presented by Roads and Maritime on the Great Westem Highway (Table 4.2) up to year 2035.

Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4 depict the indicative peak daily traffic generation superimposed on the 2035 baseline traffic volume, with a traffic growth of 2 percent per annum applied to the non-Quamy traffic in Jenolan CavesRoad (north of the Quamy Access Road).

It is assumed that the weekday traffic currently generated by the adjacent leased land would continue in the future while the Austen Quary operates, and would increase at the same rate of 2 percent perannum asthe other traffic not associated with the Austen Quary operations.

Figure 4.3: Peak Daily Traffic Generation on Weekdays (2035) - 2-Way Movements


Figure 4.4: Peak Daily Traffic Generation on Saturdays (2035) - 2-Way Movements


Traffic generation associated with the Quary activities remain unchanged from the 2022 assessment. The only increase in traffic is the background traffic unrelated to Qua my activities.

Table 4.9 provides a summary of the resulting traffic volumes in J enolan Caves Road and the Quary Access Road for weekday and Saturday conditions for the long term scenario (year 2035).

This a ssumes that any growth in non-Qua my traffic would occur across the day in proportion to the existing traffic volumes, i.e. a 36 percent inc rease in total weekday traffic would result in a 36 percent increase in hourly traffic foreach and every hour of the day. In reality, additional traffic is more likely to spread through the day, lengthening the time over which peak volumesoccur rather than proportionally increasing the peak volume. It has been assumed all Quary trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway.

Table 4.9: Peak Day Two Way Traffic in 2035 (vehic les/ hour)

| Time | Jenolan Caves Road North of Quamy Access Road |  |  | Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road |  |  | Quamy Access Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total | Light | Heavy | Total |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00-5.00 | 31 | 45 | 76 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 40 | 42 |
| 5.00-6.00 | 76 | 39 | 115 | 17 | 12 | 29 | 17 | 40 | 57 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 121 | 56 | 178 | 67 | 34 | 101 | 3 | 33 | 36 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 106 | 51 | 158 | 63 | 30 | 93 | 3 | 30 | 33 |
| 16.00-17.00 | 99 | 36 | 135 | 80 | 17 | 98 | 5 | 22 | 27 |
| 17.00-18.00 | 90 | 27 | 118 | 81 | 13 | 95 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| Weekday Total (vehicles/day) | 1,413 | 718 | 2,132 | 916 | 350 | 1,266 | 84 | 480 | 564 |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00-6.00 | 58 | 29 | 88 | 11 | 7 | 18 | 17 | 31 | 48 |
| 6.00-7.00 | 58 | 32 | 91 | 29 | 12 | 41 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| 10.00-11.00 | 151 | 41 | 192 | 131 | 12 | 143 | 2 | 31 | 33 |
| 11.00-12.00 | 173 | 43 | 216 | 135 | 14 | 149 | 2 | 30 | 32 |
| 14.00-15.00 | 145 | 25 | 169 | 144 | 18 | 163 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Saturday Total (vehicles/day) | 1,915 | 429 | 2,344 | 1,663 | 205 | 1,868 | 56 | 260 | 316 |

Peak day with Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days peryear.

Note: light vehicles in Quary Access Road include traffic to and from the neighbouring property.

Table 4.9 demonstrates that with the combined effects of background growth and the Austen Quarm operations, J enolan Caves Road would camy up to approximately 2,140 vehic les perday on a weekday and 2,350 vehic les per day on a Saturday to the north of Austen Quary in 2035.

Table 4.10 presents indic ative future traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway in 2035. These are based on the forecasts on the Great Westem Highway presented by Roads and Maritime.

Table 4.10: Indicative Peak Day Heavy Vehic les on the Great Westem Highway 2035
(2-way)

| Location | AM Peak (vehicles/ hour) | PM Peak (vehicles/ hour) | Daily (vehicles/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Flat | 754 | 967 | 11,178 |
| Hartley (west of Jenolan CavesRoad) | 680 | 908 | 11,450 |
| Mount Victoria | 935 | 1,178 | 15,054 |
| Blackheath | 982 | 1,308 | 16,597 |
| Forty Bends | 726 | 985 | 12,006 |
| Hartley | 806 | 1,105 | 13,406 |
| Little Hartley | 956 | 1,305 | 15,806 |
| Victoria Pass | 1,276 | 1,745 | 21,206 |
| Medlow Bath | 1,514 | 1,522 | 22,446 |
| Leura | 2,573 | 2,841 | 40,424 |
| Bullaburra | 2,225 | 2,294 | 31,298 |
| Faulconbridge | 2,901 | 3,093 | 38,454 |

Table 4.10 indic ates that traffic volumes on the Great Westem Highway would be expected to increase to a pproximately 21,200 vehic les per day at Victoria Pass in 2035 a nd in the order of 38,500 vehic les per day at Fa ulc onbridge.

Assuming that the aforementioned general forecasts of total traffic on the Great Westem Highway included an average of 20 percent heavy vehicles on the Great Westem Highway, the contribution of the Quary to heavy vehicles on the Great Westem Highway on a peak day in 2025 is summa rised in Table 4.11.

Table 4.11: Indic ative Peak Day Heavy Vehic les on the Great Westem Highway 2035 (2-way)

| Location | Peak Hour (heavy vehic les/ hour) |  | Daily (heavy vehic les/ day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Quany | Total | Quany |
| Meadow Flat | 193 | 2 | 2,236 | 48 |
| Hartley (west of Jenolan CavesRoad) | 182 | 2 | 2,290 | 48 |
| Mount Victoria | 236 | 20 | 3,011 | 432 |
| Blackheath | 262 | 20 | 3,319 | 432 |
| Forty Bends | 197 | 20 | 2,401 | 432 |
| Hartley | 221 | 20 | 2,681 | 432 |
| Little Hartley | 261 | 20 | 3,161 | 432 |
| Victoria Pass | 349 | 20 | 4,241 | 432 |
| Medlow Bath | 304 | 20 | 4,489 | 432 |
| Leura | 568 | 22 | 8,085 | 432 |
| Bulla burra | 459 | 22 | 6,260 | 432 |
| Faulc onbridge | 619 | 20 | 7,691 | 432 |

Austen Quary operating at 1.6Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days per year.
These results demonstrate that the contribution of the Austen Quary to total heavy vehic les on the Great Westem Highway on peak days would dec rease through the Blue Mountains to the east. The overall proportion of heavy vehic les on the Great Westem Highway would remain at a similar level to the existing situation, with an increase from 20 percent to approximately 21 percent heavy vehicles on the peak days of activity at the Austen Quary, that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 days per year.

### 4.5 Future Roadway Capacity and Efficiency

### 4.5.1 Year 2022

As a general indic ation of the effects of background growth on the Level of Service experienced by drivers along J enolan Caves Road, the PTSF has been recalculated for a peak day for year 2022 - the commencement year for monitoring the Jenolan Caves Road intersection with Great Westem Highway. The PTSF results a re summarised in Table 4.12.

Table 4.12: PISF and Levels of Service (2022)

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hour Starting | $\begin{gathered} \text { pc/hr } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ | PISF | LOS | Hour Starting | $\begin{gathered} \text { pc/hr } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ | PISF | LOS |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road | 10am | 192 | 38.5 | A | 12pm | 152 | 35.5 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road | 10am | 104 | 32.8 | A | 2pm | 96 | 32.1 | A |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road | 11am | 213 | 42.1 | B | 2pm | 156 | 36.8 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road | 10am | 122 | 35.2 | A | 1pm | 131 | 35.9 | A |

These results indicate that the traffic volumes on J enolan Caves Road would remain suffic iently low with background growth in traffic that drivers would continue to experience good levels of service when driving along it on both a weekday and Sa turday with peak activity at the Austen Quary.

Along the Great Westem Highway, a number of complex factors will influence the capacity and perceived service levels experienced by drivers. Drivers' expectations would vary signific antly between sections of road within Blue Mounta ins villages (and from one village to a nother) a nd those between the villages. Austroads (2013) presents a general guide to Levels of Service for unintemupted traffic flow on multi-lane roads, i.e. outside of the influences of signals and intersections. The LOS guide is based on travel speedsand vehicle densities to develop thresholds for maximum service flow rates per lane forvarious speed environments. These have been compared with the estimated traffic volumes presented in Table 4.7 to provide a guide to expected future LOS during peak hours along the Great Westem Highway. This a ssumes vehic les travel at a free-flow speed of 8 to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit, as suggested by Austroads (2017).

Table 4.13: Indicative Future Peak Day Levels of Senvice on the Great Westem Highway 2022 (2-way)

| Location | Peak Hour <br> (vehicles/ hour) | Number of Lanes | Speed Limit | Level of Senvice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Flat | 778 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Hartley (west of <br> Jenolan Caves Road) | 730 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Mount Victoria | 949 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Blackheath | 1,054 | 3 | 90 | A |


| Location | Peak Hour <br> (vehicles/hour) | Numberof Lanes | Speed Limit | Level of Senvice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forty Bends | 796 | 3 | 80 | A |
| Hartley | 890 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Little Hartley | 1,054 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Victoria Pass | 1,413 | 3 | 80 | A |
| Medlow Bath | 1,227 | 4 | 80 | B |
| Leura | 1,851 | 4 | 70 | C |
| Bullabura | 2,497 |  | 3 | 80 |
| Faulc |  |  |  |  |

### 4.5.2 Year 2035

As an indic ation of the effects of longertem background growth on the Level of Service experienced by drivers along J enolan Caves Road, the PTSF has been recalculated for a weekday and Saturday in 2035. The results a re summarised in Table 4.12.

Table 4.14: PISF and Levels of Service (2035)

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hour Starting | $\begin{gathered} \text { pc/hr } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ | PISF | LOS | Hour Starting | $\begin{gathered} \text { pc/hr } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ | PISF | LOS |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road | 10am | 223 | 40.8 | B | 12pm | 175 | 37.2 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road | 10am | 129 | 34.7 | A | 2pm | 118 | 33.9 | A |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North of Quary Access Road | 11am | 250 | 44.7 | B | 2pm | 190 | 39.4 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South of Quary Access Road | 10am | 151 | 37.4 | A | 1pm | 162 | 38.3 | A |

These results indicate that the traffic volumes on Jenolan Caves Road would remain suffic iently low with background growth in traffic that drivers would continue to experience good levels of service when driving along it on both a weekday and Saturday in year 2035.

Indic ative Levels of Service along the Great Westem Highway in 2035 are presented in Table 4.15, based on the highest estimated peak hour traffic volumes presented in Table 4.10 and provide a guide to expected future Level of Service during peak hours along the Great Westem Highway.

Table 4.15: Indicative Future Peak Day Levels of Service on the Great Westem Highway 2035 (2-way)

| Location | Peak Hour (vehic les/ hour) | Number of Lanes | Speed Limit | Level of Senvice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Flat | 967 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Hartley (west of Jenolan Caves Road) | 908 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Mount Victoria | 1,178 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Blackheath | 1,308 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Forty Bends | 985 | 3 | 80 | A |
| Hartley | 1,105 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Little Hartley | 1,305 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Victoria Pass | 1,745 | 3 | 60 | B |
| Medlow Bath | 1,522 | 3 | 90 | A |
| Leura | 2,841 | 4 | 80 | C |
| Bulla burra | 2,291 | 4 | 80 | B |
| Faulc onbridge | 3,093 | 4 | 70 | C |

The results demonstrate that in 2035, levels of service along the Great Westem Highway are expected to be C or better.

### 4.6 Future Intersection Operation

### 4.6.1 Year 2022

The weekday peak hour operating characteristics of the surveyed intersections have been reassessed to quantify the future conditions for year 2022 when the monitoring commences at the intersection of J enolan Caves Road with Great Westem Highway for any necessary intersection upgrade. The results are summarised in Table 4.16, and the results by movement are presented in Appendix C. As noted, the forecast tuming movements at the intersections assume that growth in background traffic will increase the volume in each hour of the day pro rata to the daily increase. It has been assumed that 90 percent of Quary trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway, with
the rema ining 10 percent travel to/from west via Great Westem Highway. The truck composition consists of 90 percent articula ted/ B-Double trucks and 10 percent rigid trucks.

Table 4.16: Intersection Level of Service (2022)

| Approach | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service | Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service |
| Jenolan Caves Road/Access Road | 14 | A | 14 | A |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan CavesRoad | 33 | C | 30 | C |

Peak day with the Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa, that would only be likely to occur on less than 10 daysperyear

The results in Table 4.16 indicate that the intersections would operate satisfactorily at LoSC or better. At the intersection of the Great Westem Highway and J enolan Caves Road, the movements with the highest average delay per vehicle would be the right tum out of Jenolan Caves Road during the moming peak, and the left tum out of J enolan Caves Road during the evening peak.

### 4.6.2 Year 2035

The weekday peak hour operating characteristic of the surveyed intersections have been reassessed to quantify the future conditions in year 2035. The results a re summarised in Table 4.17, a nd the results by movement are presented in Appendix C. As noted, the forec ast tuming movements at the intersections assume that growth in background traffic will increase the volume in each hour of the day pro rata to the daily inc rease. It has been assumed that 90 percent of Quary trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway, with the remaining 10 percent travel to/from west via Great Westem Highway. The truck composition consists of 90 percent artic ula ted/BDouble trucks and 10 percent rigid trucks.

Table 4.17: Intersection Level of Service (2035)

| Approach | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service | Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service |
| Jenolan Caves Road/Access Road | 15 | B | 15 | B |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan CavesRoad | 50 | D | 47 | D |

$\overline{\text { Peak day with the Austen Quary operating at } 1.6 \text { Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than } 10 \text { days }}$ peryear

The results in Table 4.15 indicate that the intersections would operate satisfactorily in the longer term, noting that Level of Service $D$ is the upper limit for acceptable Level of Service. At the intersection of the Great Westem Highway and J enolan Caves Road,
the movements with the highest average delay pervehicle would be the right tum out of J enolan Caves Road during the moming peak, and the left tum out of Jenolan Caves Road during the evening peak. It is noted that the volume tuming left during the evening peak hour is only 14 vehic les per hour, thus this delay would be experienced by only a small number of vehicles. A signific ant proportion of the reported delays is the forec ast delay associated with physically negotiating the tum rather than the delay waiting for a gap in the traffic.

### 4.7 Maximum Product Despatch Levels (300 Truck Loads)

Hy-Tec estimates that an appropriate maximum limit to la den truck despatch from the Quary would be 300 laden truck loads per day, or 600 daily truck trips. This maximum trip generation would only be likely to occur less than five days per year based on the heavy vehicle a rival pattems from the existing weighbridge data, a nd therefore it is not considered a reasonable basis for assessment of the typical implic ations of the proposal, however its implic ations a re broadly assessed below.

### 4.7.1 Year 2022

The maximum product despatch levelson a weekday would generate an additional 120 truck trips per day on J enolan Caves Road above that a ssessed ea rier ( 480 truck trips). It has been assumed that a maximum of 20 Quamy trucks per hour would be generated by the Quary during the AM and PM peak hours. The resulting Levels of Service experienced a long Jenolan Caves Road east of Austen Quary on a weekday are summa rised in Table 4.18.

Table 4.18: PISF and Levels of Service (Maximum Operations 2022)

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hour <br> Starting | pc/hr <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS | Hour <br> Starting | $\mathbf{p c} / \mathbf{h r}$ <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North <br> of Quamy Access Road | $10 a m$ | 205 | 39.5 | A | 12 pm | 170 | 36.9 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South <br> of Quamy Access Road | $10 a m$ | 104 | 32.8 | A | $2 p m$ | 96 | 32.1 | A |

The results demonstrate that drivers on J enolan Caves Road would continue to experience good levels of service on the very busiest daysthat would only be likely to occur on less than five days per yearat the Austen Quary.

For the purpose of a ssessing intersection performance, it has been assumed that all Quamy trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway, comprising 90 percent artic ulated/ B-Double trucks and 10 percent rigid trucks. The predicted level of service for the relevant intersections is presented in Table 4.19.

Table 4.19: Intersection Level of Senvice (Maximum Operations 2022)

| Approach | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Service | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Senvice |
| J enolan Caves Road/ Quamy AccessRoad | 14 | A | 14 | A |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan CavesRoad | 34 | C | 32 | C |

Peak day with the Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa that would only be likely to occur on less than five days peryear

The results demonstrate that the intersection would operate satisfactorily on the busiest days that would only be likely to occur on less than five days per year in 2022.

### 4.7.2 Year2035

The maximum product despatch levelson a weekday would generate an additional 120 truck trips per day on Jenolan Caves Road above that assessed forpeak operations (Section 4.6). It has been assumed that a maximum of 20 Quary trucks per hour would be generated by the Quary. The resulting Levels of Service experienced along Jenolan Caves Road east of Austen Quarm on a weekday in 2035 are summarised in Table 4.20.

Table 4.20: PISF and Levels of Service (Maximum Operations 2035)

| Location | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hour <br> Starting | pc/hr <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS | Hour <br> Starting | pc/hr <br> (2-way) | PISF | LOS |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jenolan Caves Road North <br> of Qua m Access Road | $10 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 235 | 41.7 | B | 12 pm | 193 | 38.6 | A |
| Jenolan Caves Road South <br> of Qua my Access Road | $10 a \mathrm{~m}$ | 129 | 34.7 | A | $2 p m$ | 118 | 33.9 | A |

The results demonstrate that drivers on J enolan Caves Road would continue to experience good levels of service on the very busiest days that would only be likely to occur on less than five days per year at the Austen Quary in 2035.

The results of the a nalysis are summarised in Table 4.21. It has been assumed that all Quary trucks travel to/from east via Great Westem Highway, comprising 90 percent a rtic ulated/ B-Double trucks and 10 percent rigid trucks.

Table 4.21: Intersection Level of Senvice (Maximum Operations 2035)

| Approach | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Service | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Senvice |
| J enolan Caves Road/Quamy AccessRoad | 15 | B | 16 | B |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan CavesRoad | 62 | E | 84 | F |

Peak day with the Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa would only be likely to occur less than five days per year

The results demonstrate that whilst the Quary Access Road intersection would operate satisfac torily on the busiest days, the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road is predic ted to operate at LoSE to F in 2035 when the Quary is operating at maximum laden truck despatch levels (that would only likely be occured less than five days per year). This indic ates the intersection would not have sufficient capacity to accommodate the maximum number of Quary trucks tuming right from J enolan Caves Road into the Great Westem Highway during the AM and PM peak hours due to the background traffic growth in 2035.

### 4.7.3 Sensitivity Testing

A sensitivity test was undertaken to determine what year is predicted to trigger a LoSE at the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road, given a maximum of 20 Quary trucks would be generated by the Quary and travel in the direction of Sydney during the AM and PM peak hours. The truck composition consists of 90 percent artic ulated/ B-Double trucks and 10 percent rigid trucks. The results of the a nalysis are summa rised in Table 4.22.

Table 4.22: Intersection Level of Service (Sensitivity Test)

| Intersection | Year | AM Peak LOS |  | PM Peak LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Service | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Level of <br> Senvice |
| Great Westem Highway/J enolan <br> Caves Road | 2024 | 46 | D | 54 | D |
|  | 2025 | 47 | D | 58 | E |
|  | 2028 | 53 | D | 70 | E |

Peak day with the Austen Quamy operating at 1.6 Mtpa would only be likely to occur on less than five days peryear

Table 4.22 shows that by 2025, the intersection performance is predicted to reduce to LoSE at the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road in the PM peak and 2029 in the AM peak, given the maximum number of Quary trucks would be
generated by the Quamy a nd travel in the direction of Sydney during the AM and PM peak hours.

### 4.8 Intersection Upgrade

The concept design of the Mount Victoria to Lithgow Great Westem Highway Upgrade included a grade separated interchange at the Great Westem Highway with J enolan Caves Road (Figure 4.5) to replace the at-grade priority controlled intersection.

It is acknowledged that the subject intersection was upgraded in 2016 to provide better tuming la ne and overta king facilities (Section 3.1.3) a s an at-grade priority controlled intersection.

At this stage, the intention for a ny future upgrade of this intersection is unknown. However, the provision of an underpass would signific antly reduce the delay that drivers would experience when travelling from the side road into the Great Westem Highway and improve safety with reduced points of conflict.

It is also noted that Austen Quary will be in disc ussion with Roadsand Maritime aspart of the ongoing monitoring of the Quary and the intersection performance. As such, the truck numbers and traffic growth will be monitored to allow the performance of the intersection to be monitored to ensure the successful operation of the Quary.

Hy-Tec proposesto retain its commitment to monitoring the performance of the intersection of the Great Westem Highway with J enolan Caves Road for 2022. It should be noted that it is in the interest of Hy-Tec that the ongoing performance of this intersection is reta ined.

Figure 4.5: Concept Design for Intersection Upgrade


Source: Roads and Maritime Great Westem Highway Upgrade Mount Victoria to Lithgow, Concept Design Completion Report, April 2013 - Sheet 7

### 4.9 Future Pedestrians, Cyc lists and Buses

The expected increase in traffic volumes associated with the Quarry is not expected to result in any signific ant change to the number of pedestrians, cyclists or buses operating in the local region. The number of pedestrians travelling along or acrossJ enolan Caves Road in proximity to the Quary would remain negligible, and simila rly the demand for cyclist activity is not expected to have a signific ant increase in the immediate area. The bus operation is expected to remain at similar levels to the existing, with any future increase in demand to be met by additional servicesoperated by the existing service providers.

The number of truck trips generated by the Austen Quary on a peak day, once spread over the operating hours of the Quary and taking into account Hy-Tec's management of despatch times (Section 3.9), would have a negligible effect on the delays experienced by pedestria ns crossing the Great Westem Highway through the villages of the Blue Mountains, nor on exposure between cyclists and trucks. Hy-Tec's management of despatch times also minimises the potential interaction between Quary traffic and school buses.

### 4.10 Impacts on Road Safety

The Proposal would result in the continuance of truck movements along the Great Westem Highway, predominantly through the Blue Mountains to and from east of Jenolan Caves Road. This is the most appropriate route for such vehicles, being the major arterial route and an approved B Double route between Sydney and westem NSW. The ongoing upgrading program for the Great Westem Highway is progressively improving the route to meet the current and future road transport demands, with the various upgrades a iming to improve traffic flow and road safety. Construc tion traffic management along the Great Westem Highway would have considered specific needs of heavy vehic les, such as their slower acceleration and braking capabilities.

Hy-Tec's Road Truck Traffic Management Plan aimsto maximise the safety of road users both inside the Quary and on public roads, a nd continued compliance with that Plan will reduce the risk of incidents a ssociated with the Quary trucks.

## 5 Mitigation Measures

The assessment demonstrates that the levels of service experienced along Jenolan Caves Road are expected to remain acceptable in the short tem with the combined effects of background growth and peak day activity at the Austen Quary.

Hy-Tec proposes to retain the commitment to monitor the operation of the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road once every two years from 2022 onwards. The monitoring will review the delays and safety of vehic les exiting J enolan Caves Road into the Great Westem Highway, and to what extent the Austen Quary traffic contributes to the demand for this movement.

The modelling results documented in this report will be updated to reflect the ongoing changes based on the surveyed traffic volumes in the future and validate the need to limit Quarry truck levels to mainta in service. It is in the interest of Hy -Tec to ensure that product delivery is occuring efficiently. The results of the intersection performance monitoring would be presented in the annual reporting for the Quary.

Furthemore, the concept design as shown in Figure 4.5 indic ates a possible grade separation for the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road. This layout has been designed as part of the Mount Vic toria to Lithgow Great Westem Highway Upgrade. The monitoring results recorded by Hy-Tec will be provided to Roads and Maritime Services.

The Hy-Tec driver and vehicle check system standard, Hy-Tec Chain of Responsibility Driver/Vehicle Checks, should continue to apply to all people involved in the various activities consigning; loading; driving; operating a business which controls the use of a commercial vehicle and receiving goods or freight.

The establishment and maintenance of this system has been demonstrated to reduce the number of truck drivers who do not comply with fatigue laws, reducing the nisk of incidents on the transport route. The continuance of this system, together with the Road Truck Traffic Management Plan (Section 2.2), is therefore commended as a means to mitigate potential impacts of the proposal, partic ularly with regard to heavy vehicle driver beha viour.

Heavy vehic les do not appear to contribute to the history of crashes along the route. No additional measures are therefore considered to be warranted along Jenolan Caves Road to accommodate the Proposal.

## 6 Summary and Conclusions

### 6.1 Summary

- Hy-Tec Industries is seeking approval to increase the a nnual limit on Quary product despatch from 1.1 to 1.6 Mtpa, by increasing the heavy vehicle deliveries from the Quary site perday. In addition, it is proposed to start the operation one hour earlier from 4.00am (instead of the existing 5.00am) on weekdays.
- Analysis of traffic surveys conducted during February 2017, indic a tes that the key intersections are operating satisfactorily at LOS B or better during the peak hours.
- The existing weighbridge data indicatesthe Quamy is currently operating below its existing production limit (maximum 250 laden truck loads) with a pproximately 130 truckloads per day.
- A review of the history of crashes on the surrounding road network indic ates that the most common crash type involved rear end collisions in the Great Westem Highway and single vehic les losing control in J enolan Caves Road. Heavy vehicles do not appearto be a contributing factorto road crashes. Great Westem Highway is progressively being upgraded in various sections to improve traffic flow and road safety. An upgrade of the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road completed in late 2016.
- For peak Quarry operations (240 truckloads per day):
- An assessment of the traffic implications of the intensified operation of the Quamy ind ic a tes that levels of service at the key intersections would rema in a cceptable in year 2022 and 2035.
- Quary truck trips would be spread across the day from 4.00am to 10.00pm on weekdays, with more truck trips occuming in early moming (between 4.00am and 7.00am) but less during the AM and PM peak hours, to take advantage of a vailable capacity at the intersection during the off-peak period and consistent with customer demands.
- Mid-block sections in Great Westem Highway and Jenolan Caves Road are expected to operate at LOSC orbetter in both 2022 and 2035.
- The proposed increase to daily trucks in the Great Westem Highway is approximately one percent of the total daily traffic volume.
- For maximum Qua my operations (300 truckloa ds per day):
- An a ssessment of the traffic implications of the intensified operation of the Quamy indicates that levels of service at the key intersec tions would rema in acceptable until year 2024 in the PM peak and 2028 in the AM peak.
- Quary truck trips would be spread across the day from 4.00a m to 10.00pm on weekdays, with 20 truckloads occuring in the AM and PM peak hours.
- Hy-Tec proposesto retain the commitment to monitor the operation of the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road once every two years from 2022 onwards. The monitoring will review the delays and safety of vehic les exiting Jenolan Caves Road onto the Great Westem Highway, and to what extent the Austen Quarry contributes to the demand for this movement.
- The modelling results doc umented in this report will be updated over time a nd will be used to validate the need to limit Qua my truck levels to mainta in service. It is in the interest of Hy -Tec to ensure that product delivery is oc curring effic iently. The results of the intersection performance monitoring would be presented in the annual reporting for the Quary.
- The possible future grade separation of the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road under the Great Westem Highway Upgrade program would improve the driving experience from J enolan Caves Road onto the Great Westem Highway via an underpass.


### 6.2 Conclusions

- Additional traffic associated with peak Quary operations ( 240 truckloa ds per day) would be accommodated on the surrounding road network with acceptable impacts on the capacity, effic iency and safety of the road network.
- Additional traffic in relation to maximum Quary operations (300 trucks perday) would trigger an unacceptable Level of Service E at the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road that would only be likely to occur on less than five days per year, due to the background traffic growth in 2025.
- It is recommended that the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road be monitored after 2022 for its ongoing operation and safety performance.
- It is also noted that the potential future grade separation of the Great Westem Highway intersection with J enolan Caves Road as proposed by Roads and Ma ritime Servic es would provide benefits for vehicles tra velling from J enolan Caves Road onto the Great Westem Highway
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## AppendixA

Traffic Surveys

| Job No. | : N3001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client | :TPP |  |
| Suburb | : Jenolan Caves Road |  |
| Location | : 2. Jenolan Caves Rd/ Hy-tec A |  |
| Day/Date | : Thu, 16th Feb 2017 |  |
| Weather | : Fine |  |
| Description | : Classified Intersection Count |  |
|  | : Intersection Diagram |  |
| Hour Starting Vehicle Type |  |  |
| M M | ${ }^{\text {All venicles }}$ |  |















## Appendix B

Crash Data


Crashid dataset 7131 - Blue Mountains crash data - 1 Jul 2011 to 30 Jun 2016
Note: Data for the 9 month period prior to the generated date of this report are incomplete and are subject to change.
Crash self reporting, including self reported injuries began Oct 2014. Trends from 2014 are expected to vary from previous yrs. More unknowns are expected in self reported data.
Reporting yrs 1996-2004 and 2016 onwards contain uncategorised inj crashes.
Percentages are percentages of all crashes. Unknown values for each category are not shown on this report.

## Appendix C

SIDRA Modelling Results

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2017 AM Base]
OWiHJenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM.
Stop (Two.Wara)

| Movement Performance - vencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Mow | Total | N | Stin | Docely | Serrke | Whotics <br> vit | Ditance | Oucked | Stog Ryle <br> ther wh | Spoed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 5 | 200 | 0211 | 242 | Los 8 | 09 | 102 | 0.75 | 079 | 475 |
| 2 | T | 1 | 00 | 0211 | 137 | tos 4 | $0 \cdot 9$ | 102 | 0.75 | 079 | 507 |
| 3 | 82 | 43 | 512 | 0.211 | 24.6 | Lose | 0.9 | 102 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 41.3 |
| Aprosch |  | 49 | 468 | 0211 | 24.4 | na | 0.9 | 102 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 42. |
| East gwh (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 41 | 40.7 | 0.035 | 0.3 | cosa | 0.1 | 18 | 0.05 | 0.56 | 51.7 |
| 5 | Ti | 244 | 198 | 0141 | 00 | 1054 | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 1 | 00 | 0001 | 74 | $\underline{\cos A}$ | 00 | 00 | 0.34 | 0.55 | 638 |
| Apprasen |  | 285 | 239 | 0.141 | 12 | tosa | 0.1 | 18 | 0.01 | 008 | 740 |
| Neatht Elactmans Creok Ra (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0.005 | 98 | 105 a | 00 | 01 | 0.49 | 082 | 602 |
| 0 | T | 1 | 00 | 0005 | 14.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 60.4 |
| 9 | ${ }_{R 2}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.005 | 14.6 | Lose | 0.0 | ar | 0.49 | 0.82 | 603 |
| Approsch |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.005 | 12.8 | $\underline{\cos } \mathrm{A}$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.49 | 0.82 | 60.3 |
| weet Gwn (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 2 | 00 | 0001 | 69 | $\operatorname{tos} A$ | 00 | 00 | 000 | 063 | 654 |
| 11 | Ti | 235 | 215 | 0137 | 00 | tosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 12 | R 2 | 8 | 500 | 0006 | 90 | Losa | 00 | 03 | 0.39 | 059 | 504 |
| Approsen |  | 243 | 221 | 0137 | 03 | ma | 00 | 0.3 | 001 | 002 | 786 |
| alverices |  | se | 250 | 0211 | 29 | ma | 0.9 | 102 | 0.07 | 012 | 710 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

. Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2017 PM Base]
GWH Jemolan Cive Rd 2035 PM
Stop (Tho.Way)


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: 102 [GWHvenolan Cave 2022 AM (Peak Day)]
OWh Jencolan Cave Rd 20055 AM
Stop (woo Way)

| Movement Pertormance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wo | \%ov | Tolal | Denand Figwn | Per | Nixcer | tever | 95\% Bart of Ocour Vohides | Datance | Preg | Efloctow Stop Rifly | Avemi |
| Ssatic Jenolan Care Ra (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 7 | 429 | 0.325 | 32.7 | tosc | 1.5 | 18.7 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 399 |
| 2 | $\pi$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.325 | 17.4 | Lose | 15 | 18.7 | 0.82 | 0.06 | 45.6 |
| 3 | A2 | 54 | 54.9 | 0325 | 328 | Losc | 15 | 187 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 37.4 |
| Approsch |  | 62 | 525 | 0.325 | 325 | ma | 15 | 187 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 37.3 |
| East OWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 55.3 | 0.048 | 8.5 | cosa | 0.2 | 24 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 303 |
| 5 | Ti | 269 | 199 | 0156 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0001 | 74 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 637 |
| Apposch |  | 320 | 253 | 0156 | 13 | cosa | 02 | 24 | 009 | 0.99 | 731 |
| Noatre Blicemmans Creok Ra (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 0. | 0.005 | 99 | Losa | 00 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.83 | 59.6 |
| 5 | $\pi$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.006 | 148 | Lose | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 59.8 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.005 | 15.7 | Lose | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 597 |
| Acceosch |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0005 | 135 | $\operatorname{tos} A$ | 00 | 01 | 0.52 | 083 | 597 |
| West cown ${ }^{\text {an }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | LOSA | 00 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | 1 | 239 | 21.5 | 0.151 | 0.0 | tosa | 20 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 625 | 0.010 | 102 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 46. |
| Ascroach |  | 209 | 22.7 | 0.151 | 0.4 | Na | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 76.1 |
| All vencies |  | 6s | 26.7 | 0.325 | 40 | na | 15 | 187 | 0.99 | 0.14 | 98. 7 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

site: 102 [GWHvenolan Cave 2022 PM (Peak Day)]
OWH-Jenolen Cave Rd 2035 PM
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wios | ${ }_{\text {O\% }}^{\text {O\% }}$ | Totalt | Denumatiow | $\frac{\mathrm{pex}}{\mathrm{sin}}$ | nurcer | tevel | $35 \%$ Batk of Ceroue Vohicles wh | Didara | Prop |  | Aver |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 13 | 503 | 0.321 | 298 | Losc | 1.5 | 15.8 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 39.4 |
| 2 | IT | 1 | 0.0 | 0.321 | 13.6 | Lose | 15 | 15.8 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 47.5 |
| , | R2 | 60 | 298 | 0.321 | 290 | Los C | 15 | 158 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 122 |
| Approseh |  | 74 | 343 | 0321 | 290 | ma | 15 | 158 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 418 |
| East Own (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 33 | 27.3 | 0.027 | 79 | cosa | 0.1 | 12 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 56.8 |
| 5 | Ti | 304 | 142 | 0170 | 00 | $\cos A$ | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 6 | n2 | 2 | 00 | 0002 | 77 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 636 |
| Approach |  | 341 | 154 | 0170 | 09 | cosa | 01 | 12 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 766 |
| Noatic Blicemmas Creek so (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 0. | 0.007 | 10.3 | cosa | 00 | 02 | 0.57 | 0.84 | 58.4 |
| 8 | T | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 16.4 | Lose | 0.0 | 02 | 0.57 | 0.04 | Sa. 5 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 178 | Lose | 0.0 | 02 | 0.57 | 0.84 | ses |
| Ascroseh |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0007 | 148 | tos 8 | 00 | 02 | 0.57 | 084 | 585 |
| West CWH ${ }^{\text {anm }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 69 | cosa | 0.0 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | 1 | 327 | 18.0 | 0.185 | 0.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 1000 | 0.005 | 10.8 | cosa | 0.0 | 04 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 489 |
| Ascrosch |  | 33 | 190 | 0.108 | 02 | ma | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 79.2 |
| All vencies |  | 751 | 10.3 | 0.321 | 3.4 | na | 15 | 15.8 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 71.7 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
. Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2035 AM (Peak Day)]


| Movement Pertormance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{10}$ | \%or | Teat | Demand I lown | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{geg}, \\ & \mathrm{gsin} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Averige Dely | Levelt | 95\% Back of Oveve Vehicdes | Dilance | Prowed | ESectre Slop Ral | Averse |
| Soutt: Jendan Cave Ro (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 8 | 37.5 | 0.502 | 49.7 | Los0 | 24 | 293 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 34.1 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | $0 \cdot$ | 0.502 | 27.6 | Los B | 24 | 223 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 37.6 |
| 3 | R2 | 61 | 51.7 | 0.502 | 50.0 | Los 0 | 2.4 | 293 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 321 |
| Appracen |  | 71 | 493 | 0502 | 496 | na | 24 | 293 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 324 |
| East ( Wh (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 57 | 519 | 0.049 | 8.4 | Losa | 02 | 26 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 51.0 |
| 5 | T1 | 333 | 199 | 0.193 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0001 | 77 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 0.41 | 056 | 636 |
| Apprach |  | 391 | 245 | 0193 | 13 | cosa | 02 | 26 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 737 |
| Nattr Slacimans Creek Rd (V) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | , | 00 | 0007 | 103 | $\cos a$ | 00 | 02 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 580 |
| 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.007 | 17.1 |  | 0.0 | 02 | 0.50 | 0.04 | St. 1 |
| 9 | R2 | , | 00 | 0.007 | 13.7 | cosi | 0.0 | 02 | 0.58 | 0.04 | Ssa |
| ${ }^{\text {Apprasch }}$ |  | 3 | 00 | 0.007 | 15.4 | Los $\mathrm{B}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.50 | 0.84 | Sta |
| West GWH ${ }^{\text {cm }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 3 | 00 | 0002 | 69 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 654 |
| 11 | T1 | 319 | 215 | 0.188 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | R2 | 11 | 600 | 0014 | 10.8 | cosa | 0.1 | 07 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 47.1 |
| Approsch |  | 333 | 22.5 | 0.186 | 0.4 | ma | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 76. |
| anverictes |  | 797 | 258 | 0.502 | 5.2 | ma | 24 | 293 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 67.5 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
(1te: site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2035 PM (Peak Day)]
GWH JJenoain Cave Ra 2035 PM
Stoe (Two-Nay)

| Movenent Perfornance - Wethiches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{0}{ }^{0 \%}$ | Mov | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dec} \\ & 5 \mathrm{Sin} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Axeroge | Levelt | $95 \%$ Dsck of Oueve Vohiles wheles weh | Dotance | Proend |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avery } \\ & \substack{ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ |
| Scutic Jenolsm Care Ra (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 15 | 57.1 | 0519 | 468 | Los D | 26 | 267 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 334 |
| 2 | Ti | 1 | 00 | 0519 | 30.1 | Losc | 26 | 267 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 389 |
| 3 | R2 | 69 | 27.3 | 0.519 | 46.1 | Los D | 26 | 287 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 356 |
| Aproast |  | 85 | 321 | 0519 | 460 | ma | 28 | 287 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 352 |
| Last Own(L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 40 | 23.7 | 0.030 | 78 | cosa | 0.1 | 13 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 57.8 |
| 5 | Ti | 375 | 140 | 0210 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 6 | R2 | 3 | 00 | 0003 | 81 | cosa | 00 | 01 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 634 |
| Apprast |  | 418 | 149 | 0210 | 08 | cosa | 01 | 13 | 001 | 0.06 | 769 |
| Nattr Blsckmms Creek Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 10.8 | cosa | 0.0 | 02 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.1 |
| 5 | 11 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 19.7 | Lose | 0.0 | 02 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 562 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 224 | cos 8 | 0.0 | 02 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.1 |
| Appoasch |  | 3 | 00 | 0009 | 176 | Lose | 00 | 02 | 0.66 | 087 | 562 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | , | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | LOSA | 0.0 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | 11 | 405 | 17.9 | 0232 | 0.0 | tosa | 0.0 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | $s$ | 100.0 | 0.009 | 11.7 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 45.4 |
| Accrooch |  | 412 | 18.9 | 0232 | 0.2 | na | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 792 |
| All venciles |  | 918 | 18.2 | 0.519 | 48 | ma | 26 | 267 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 70.0 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

. Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2022 AM (UItimate Local Peak)]
OWh-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM

| Movenent Petormance - Vethicies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {Mow }}$ | $\ldots$ | Tosal | Demand Flown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nem } \\ & \text { Ssin } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | Averace Deviy tane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Invel of } \\ & \text { Sernce } \end{aligned}$ | 95\% Back of Cureire Vehales whin | Distimese | Pmes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 5 | 200 | 0360 | 347 | Losc | 16 | 205 | 083 | 087 | 418 |
| ${ }^{2}$ | T1 | 1 | 00 | 0380 | 184 | Los 8 | 16 | 205 | 083 | 087 | 443 |
| 3 | R2 | 57 | 57.4 | 0.360 | 353 | Losc | 1.6 | 20.5 | 0.53 | 0.87 | 362 |
| Approsch |  | 63 | 53.3 | 0.360 | 34.9 | ma | 1.6 | 20.5 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 36.7 |
| Esat ( OWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 53 | Ss.0. | 0.048 | 3.5 | cosa | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 497 |
| 5 | T1 | 269 | 159 | 0156 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 798 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0.001 | 7.4 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 038 | 0.55 | 637 |
| Approsch |  | 323 | 26.1 | 0.158 | 1.4 | Losa | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 726 |
| Nath: Blactmans Creek Ru (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | , | 00 | 0.006 | 99 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 597 |
| 8 | Ti | 1 | 0.0 | 0.006 | 14.8 | Lose | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 033 | 59.8 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0006 | 156 | Los 8 | 00 | 01 | 052 | 083 | 597 |
| Apprach |  | 3 | 00 | 0006 | 134 | cosa | 00 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 083 | 597 |
| West CWH (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 2 | 00 | 0.001 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | $\pi$ | 259 | 21.5 | 0.151 | 0.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 12 | R2 | 6 | S0.0 | 0.006 | 92 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 503 |
| Approsch |  | 267 | 220 | 0.151 | 0.3 | ma | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 70.7 |
| Af vencices |  | 657 | 269 | 0.360 | 42 | ma | 1.6 | 20.5 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 682 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2022 PM (Ultimate Local Peak)]
GWH Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 PM
Stop (Two Wawis)

| Movement Pertornance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{100}$ | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ | Tulal |  |  | Nucem | Lemet | Why Beat of Cuwur VEI | Dudance | Prup | $\frac{51}{5 m+5}$ | Avacon |
| South semian Cave Ra ( 3 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 13 | 50.0 | 0.451 | 39.5 | Losc | 23 | 26.0 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 36.4 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.451 | 22.0 | Lose | 23 | 26.0 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 421 |
| 3 | R | 6 | 400 | 0451 | 391 | $\operatorname{Los} \mathrm{C}$ | 23 | 260 | 088 | 0.92 | 367 |
| Approsch |  | ${ }^{2}$ | 410 | 0.451 | 39.0 | ma | 23 | 26.0 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 36.7 |
| East CWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 45 | 455 | 0041 | 83 | cosa | 02 | 22 | 004 | 058 | 522 |
| 5 | 11 | 394 | 14.2 | 0.170 | 0.0 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 6 | R2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 71 | tosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 63.6 |
| 20prosen |  | 333 | 18.2 | 0.770 | 1.2 | cosa | 0.2 | 22 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 74.6 |
| Mattr Mascmans creek Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $?$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1 | 00 | 0.007 | 10.3 | tosa | 0.0 | 02 | 0.57 | 0.84 | 50.5 |
| 8 | Ti | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 163 | tos 8 | 00 | 02 | 0.57 | 084 | 58.6 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 178 | Los ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 00 | 02 | 0.57 | 0.84 | 585 |
| Approsech |  | 3 | 00 | 0007 | 148 | Los 8 | 08 | 02 | 0.57 | 084 | 585 |
| West CWH (w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | cos A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 327 | 18.0 | 0.108 | 0.0 | cosa | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 3 | 1000 | 0.004 | 102 | cosa | 0.0 | 02 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 498 |
| Approsch |  | ${ }^{31} 2$ | 10.7 | 0.180 | 0.1 | ma | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 79.4 |
| Anvences |  | 780 | 20.8 | 0.451 | 4.8 | ma | 23 | 26.0 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 60.7 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2024 AM (Ultimate Local Peak)-Before Trigger]
OWA Nenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM
Stop (Two Wavy)

| Mowement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{00}$ | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{\text {gov }}$ | Total | Dormand Flowe | Sy | Avgrys nely | Sould | 95\% Back of Ccmut Vahides weh | Dethines | Prover | shathox | husem |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | - | 16.7 | 0.471 | 4.8 | Loso | 22 | 27.4 | 0.89 | 0.80 | 37.9 |
| 2 | II | 1 | 0.0 | 0.471 | 24.4 | tos ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 22 | 27.4 | 0.09 | 0.90 | 395 |
| 3 | R2 | 62 | 559 | 0.471 | 455 | Loso | 22 | 274 | 089 | 0.90 | 331 |
| Ascroach |  | 69 | 51.5 | 0.471 | 45.1 | na | 22 | 27.4 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 33.5 |
| East OWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 57 | 556 | 0051 | 35 | Losa | 02 | 28 | 006 | 057 | 502 |
| 5 | $\pi$ | 306 | 199 | 0.17 | 0.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 799 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0001 | 7.6 | Losa | 00 | 0.0 | 0.39 | 0.5\% | 63.6 |
| Asposech |  | 364 | 25.4 | 0.17 | 1.4 | tosa | 02 | 28 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 73. |
| Nornk biscmmans Creek Ro (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 10.1 | Los A | 0.0 | 02 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 50.0 |
| 8 | T | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 160 | cos 8 | 00 | 02 | 056 | Oes | 58. |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 172 | cos E | 00 | 02 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 588 |
| Apposth |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 14.4 | Los A | 00 | 02 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 58.8 |
| West Own (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 69 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 65.4 |
| 11 | Ti | 294 | 215 | 0172 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | R2 | 7 | 571 | 0.008 | 97 | cosa | 00 | 03 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 487 |
| Appoach |  | 304 | 22.1 | 0172 | 03 | na | 00 | 03 | 001 | 002 | 785 |
| as venices |  | 741 | 264 | 0471 | 51 | na | 22 | 274 | 010 | 014 | 674 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2024 PM (ultimate Local Peak)-Before Trigger]
${ }^{\text {GWH. Jenoan Cave Re }} 2035$ PM

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M00 | ${ }_{\text {Oow }}^{\text {Mow }}$ | Total |  |  | nexrey | Levelot | Pyx Dack of Owow Vhistes ch | Distrace | Prop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lhectve } \\ & \text { slop Rate } \end{aligned}$ pervish | Averyo |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ${ }^{12}$ | 13 | 50.0 | 0.591 | 54.3 | Loso | 3.1 | 34.9 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 34.7 |
| 2 | 11 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.591 | 33.0 | Losc | 3.1 | 34.9 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 36.0 |
| 3 | ne | 73 | 377 | 0591 | 540 | Loso | 31 | 349 | 0.93 | 098 | 321 |
| Apposech |  | ${ }^{56}$ | 39.0 | 0.591 | 53.8 | NA | 3.1 | 349 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 321 |
| Enat GWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 49 | 426 | 0.043 | 83 | Losa | 0.2 | 23 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 529 |
| 5 | T1 | 365 | 14.0 | 0.193 | 0.0 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 3 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 79 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 63.4 |
| Approsen |  | 398 | 17.5 | 0.193 | 1.1 | cos A | 0.2 | 23 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 75.0 |
| Nottr Diockmans Creek Rd ( ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0008 | 106 | cosa | 00 | 02 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 57.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.008 | 18.2 | LOS 8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 57.3 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0.008 | 202 | Los 8 | 0.0 | 02 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 57.2 |
| mpprosin |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.908 | 16.3 | Los 8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 57.2 |
| West own (w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 374 | 130 | 0214 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 0.00 | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | 82 | 4 | 1000 | 0006 | 107 | $\cos A$ | 00 | 03 | 0.51 | 0.63 | 494 |
| Appoach |  | 379 | 189 | 0214 | 02 | NA | 00 | 03 | 001 | 0.01 | 793 |
| al venicles |  | s86 | 202 | 0591 | 60 | na | 31 | 349 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 675 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2025 AM (Utumate Local Peak)-Tigger]
OWi-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM

| Movement Pertornance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mos | ${ }_{0}^{\text {¢ }}$ | Temin | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Demand Flow } \\ \text { HN } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Den} \\ \mathrm{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { numper } \\ \text { Dise } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | tevelat | 95\% Back of Cerre Vhthin <br> Vhtiola <br> weh | Bromarn | Prowe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { slathe } \\ & \text { s.on } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| South :enstan Cave Ra (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 6 | 167 | 0.409 | 46.4 | Loso | 23 | 20.4 | 059 | 0.91 | 37.3 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 00 | 0.469 | 25.5 | Lose | 2.3 | 20.4 | 0.59 | 0.91 | 33.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 63 | 550 | 0489 | 470 | LosD | 23 | 284 | 039 | 091 | 327 |
| Approsen |  | 71 | 50.7 | 0.489 | 46.6 | NA | 23 | 26.4 | 089 | 0.9 | 33.1 |
| Esat awh (e) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 57 | 556 | 0.051 | 8.5 | Losa | 0.2 | 28 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 502 |
| $s$ | 11 | 312 | 199 | 0.100 | 0.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0.001 | 76 | $\cos A$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 039 | 0.56 | 63.6 |
| Approsen |  | 369 | 254 | 0.180 | 13 | $\operatorname{tos} A$ | 02 | 28 | 001 | 009 | 731 |
| Nath: Blacimans Creek Rdon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 102 | Losa | 00 | 02 | 0.5s | 084 | 586 |
| \% | $\pi$ | 1 | 0 | 0.007 | 162 | Los: | 0.0 | 02 | 0.50 | 0.4 | 588 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0. | 0.007 | 17.5 | Lose | 0.0 | 02 | 0.5 | 0.54 | 58.7 |
| approsen |  | 3 | 00 | 0.007 | 14.6 | Lose | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 50.7 |
| West GWH (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 3 | 00 | 0002 | 69 | tosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.3 | 654 |
| 11 | T1 | 209 | 215 | 0175 | 00 | tosa | 00 | 00 | $0 \times 0$ | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 500 | 0.009 | 95 | $\underline{\cos } \mathrm{A}$ | 0.0 | 04 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 502 |
| Approsch |  | 311 | 220 | 0.175 | 03 | ma | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 785 |
| alvetices |  | 754 | 263 | 0.489 | 52 | ma | 23 | 22.4 | 0.10 | 0.4 | 67.3 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2025 PM (Ultimate Local Peak)-Trigger]
OWH-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 PM
Stoo (Twa-Wary

| Movement Pentormance - Veticles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{10}$ | On | Trith |  | $\frac{\log }{\frac{10}{4 n}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Imelof } \\ & \hline \text { serven } \end{aligned}$ | 95C/ Back of Qurate Vehicles Vehicles veh | Dotance | Prepor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Etedrem } \\ & \text { Step Rex } \end{aligned}$ | Averye |
| South Jendan Cave Rod ( 5 ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 13 | 500 | 0613 | 575 | Lose | 32 | 365 | 094 | 0.99 | 309 |
| 2 | T | 1 | 00 | 0.613 | 352 | Losc | 32 | 365 | 094 | 0.98 | 349 |
| 3 | $p 2$ | 3 | 33.7 | 0.613 | 572 | Lose | 32 | 365 | 0.4 | 0.99 | 31.3 |
| Approsch |  | ${ }^{86}$ | 390 | 0.813 | 57.0 | na | 32 | 36.5 | 094 | 0.99 | 31.3 |
| East GWH(E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 51 | 41.7 | 0.043 | 32 | Losa | 02 | 23 | 2005 | 0.50 | 53.1 |
| 5 | Ti | 352 | 141 | 0.97 | 00 | Losa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| , | 82 | 3 | 00 | 0003 | 80 | Losa | 00 | 01 | 044 | 0.59 | 634 |
| Approsen |  | 405 | 174 | 0.197 | 11 | Losa | 02 | 23 | 001 | 0.08 | 750 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0 oos | 10.6 | Losa | 00 | 02 | 063 | 086 | 570 |
| 3 | II | 1 | 00 | 0.000 | 10.5 | Lose | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 57.1 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0.008 | 20.6 | Los B | 00 | 02 | 0.63 | 0.86 | 57.0 |
| Approsen |  | 3 | 00 | 0.008 | 16.6 | cos $\cos ^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.63 | 0.86 | 57.0 |
| wert Owh (w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0001 | 69 | Los 4 | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.63 | 654 |
| 11 | Ti | 330 | 180 | 0218 | 00 | Losa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | $n 2$ | 4 | 1000 | 0006 | 108 | Losa | 00 | 03 | 051 | 063 | 494 |
| Approach |  | 385 | 18.9 | 0218 | 02 | Ma | 00 | 03 | 001 | 008 | 793 |
| at venices |  | 830 | 20.1 | 0.613 | 62 | Ma | 32 | 365 | 010 | 0.14 | 672 |

The Transport Planning Partnership

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

3it site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2028 AM (Ultimate Local Peak)-Before AM Trigger]
GWH-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Mov }}{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {OD }}^{\text {Mov }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { vehh } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Flows H/N $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Saly } \\ & \text { vict } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level of } \\ & \text { Service } \end{aligned}$ | $95 \%$ Back of Queue Vehicles <br> Vehicles <br> ch | Distance | ${ }_{\text {Prop }}$ Pueved | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effective } \\ \text { Stop Rate } \\ \text { per veh } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \mathrm{kmin} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 6 | 16.7 | 0.542 | 52.7 | Los D | 2.6 | 31.7 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 35.0 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.542 | 29.5 | Los C | 2.6 | 31.7 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 36.3 |
| 3 | R2 | 64 | 54.1 | 0.542 | 53.4 | LOSD | 2.6 | 31.7 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 31.0 |
| Appro |  | 72 | 50.0 | 0.542 | 53.0 | NA | 2.6 | 31.7 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 31.4 |
| East GWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 59 | 55.4 | 0.052 | 8.5 | Los A | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 50.3 |
| 5 | T1 | 328 | 19.9 | 0.190 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 7.7 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 63.6 |
| Appro |  | 388 | 25.2 | 0.190 | 1.3 | Los A | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 73.2 |
| North: Blackmans Creek Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 10.3 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 16.9 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.3 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 18.3 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.2 |
| Appro |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 15.1 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.2 |
| West GWH (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ | 3 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 315 | 21.4 | 0.184 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 50.0 | 0.009 | 9.7 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.46 | 0.62 | 50.1 |
| Appro |  | 326 | 21.9 | 0.184 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 78.5 |
| All ve |  | 789 | 26.0 | 0.542 | 5.7 | NA | 2.6 | 31.7 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 66.9 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
3. Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2028 PM (Ultimate Local Peak)-Before AM Trigger]

GWH-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 PM

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mov} \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ | Mov | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { eehan } \end{gathered}$ | Demand Flows HV $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deq. } \\ & \text { Soln } \\ & \text { Sitict } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level of } \\ & \text { Service } \end{aligned}$ | 95\% Back of Queue <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Distance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prop. } \\ & \text { Queued } \end{aligned}$ | Effective Stop Rate per veh | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averge } \\ \text { Speed } \\ \mathrm{kmmh} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 15 | 50.0 | 0.686 | 69.5 | Lose | 3.8 | 43.3 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 28.1 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.686 | 44.1 | Los D | 3.8 | 43.3 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 31.3 |
| 3 | R2 | 73 | 37.7 | 0.686 | 69.2 | Lose | 3.8 | 43.3 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 28.4 |
| Approach |  | 88 | 39.3 | 0.686 | 69.0 | NA | 3.8 | 43.3 | 0.96 | 1.01 | 28.4 |
| East © WH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 52 | 40.8 | 0.044 | 8.2 | Los A | 0.2 | 23 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 53.3 |
| 5 | T1 | 371 | 14.2 | 0.208 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 8.1 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 63.4 |
| Approach |  | 425 | 17.3 | 0.208 | 1.1 | Los A | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 75.2 |
| North: Blackmans Creek Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 10.8 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 56.3 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 19.4 | LOS B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 56.5 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 21.9 | LOS B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 56.4 |
| Approach |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 17.4 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 56.4 |
| West GWH (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 399 | 17.9 | 0.228 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 100.0 | 0.006 | 11.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 49.2 |
| Approach |  | 404 | 18.8 | 0.228 | 0.2 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 79.3 |
| All Vehicles |  | 921 | 20.0 | 0.686 | 7.2 | NA | 3.8 | 43.3 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 66.1 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
(3ili Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2029 AM (Ultimate Local Peak)-AM Trigger]
GWH-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 AM

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Mov | Total venh | Demand Flows H $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg } \\ & \text { Sand } \\ & \text { vic } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averge } \\ \text { Doldy } \\ \text { sec } \end{gathered}$ | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Queue Vehicles vehicles veh veh | Distance | ${ }_{\text {Prop. }}^{\text {Pueved }}$ | Effective Stop Rate per veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Averge } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { kmh } \end{aligned}$ |
| South: Jenolan Cave Rd ( S ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 6 | 16.7 | 0.570 | 56.1 | LOS D | 2.7 | 33.8 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 33.9 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.570 | 31.8 | LOS C | 2.7 | 33.8 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 35.2 |
| 3 | R2 | 65 | 54.8 | 0.570 | 56.8 | Lose | 2.7 | 33.8 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 30.1 |
| Approach |  | 73 | 50.7 | 0.570 | 56.4 | NA | 2.7 | 33.8 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 30.5 |
| East ©WH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 60 | 54.4 | 0.053 | 8.4 | Los A | 0.2 | 29 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 50.5 |
| 5 | T1 | 334 | 19.9 | 0.193 | 0.0 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 7.7 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 63.6 |
| Approach |  | 395 | 25.1 | 0.193 | 1.3 | Los A | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 73.3 |
| North: Blackmans Creek Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 10.3 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.0 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 17.1 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.2 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 18.6 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.1 |
| Approach |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 15.3 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.1 |
| West GWH (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 320 | 21.4 | 0.187 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 50.0 | 0.009 | 9.7 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.46 | 0.62 | 50.1 |
| Approach |  | 332 | 21.9 | 0.187 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 78.6 |
| All venicles |  | 802 | 26.0 | 0.570 | 6.0 | NA | 2.7 | 33.8 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 66.5 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
5itis) Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2029 PM (Ultimate Local Peak)-AM Trigger]
GWH-Jenolan Cave Rd 2035 PM
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Mo }} \mathrm{D}$ | Mov | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { veth } \end{gathered}$ | Demand Flows H | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deq. } \\ \text { Satn } \\ \text { v/c } \end{gathered}$ | Average Delay Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Queue Vehicles ehicles ven | Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \mathrm{kminh} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ${ }^{1} 2$ | 15 | 50.0 | 0.712 | 74.6 | Los F | 4.1 | 45.8 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 27.0 |
| 2 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.712 | 48.2 | LOS ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 4.1 | 45.8 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 30.0 |
| 3 | R2 | 73 | 37.7 | 0.712 | 74.4 | LosF | 4.1 | 45.8 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 27.3 |
| Appro |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 39.3 | 0.712 | 74.2 | NA | 4.1 | 45.8 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 27.3 |
| East GWH (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 52 | 40.8 | 0.044 | 8.2 | Los A | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 0.58 | 53.3 |
| 5 | T1 | 376 | 14.0 | 0.210 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 8.1 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 63.4 |
| Appro |  | 431 | 17.1 | 0.210 | 1.1 | LOSA | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 75.2 |
| North: Blackmans Creek Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 10.8 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.1 |
| 8 | T1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 19.7 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.2 |
| 9 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 22.4 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.1 |
| Appro |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 17.6 | Los B | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.66 | 0.87 | 56.1 |
| West GWH ( W ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | , | 0.0 | 0.001 | 6.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 65.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 406 | 18.1 | 0.233 | 0.0 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 100.0 | 0.006 | 11.1 | Losa | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 49.2 |
| Approach |  | 412 | 18.9 | 0.233 | 0.2 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 79.3 |
| All vehicles |  | 934 | 20.0 | 0.712 | 7.6 | NA | 4.1 | 45.8 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 65.6 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2035 AM (Ulitimate Local Peak)]
OWH Nenolian Cave Rd 2035 AM
Stop (Two Wivy)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{100}$ | $\stackrel{\text { OD }}{\sim}$ | Totinn | Dented Flown \% $\%$ | Dis |  | Leverst | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ Bact of Cusw Vhicies <br> Vhicien <br> weh | midex | Proper |  | Avery |
| Soutt Jenclan Cave Ra (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 6 | 167 | 0.602 | 61.1 | LOSE | 29 | 36.4 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 32.4 |
| 2 | T | 1 | 00 | 0.602 | 34.6 | tosc | 29 | 354 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 33.6 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 65 | 548 | 0602 | 618 | Lose | 29 | 364 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 26.9 |
| Approsen |  | 73 | 50.7 | 0.602 | 61.3 | ma | 29 | 36.4 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 29.3 |
| Exat cown (e) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 60 | 54.4 | 0.054 | 8.4 | Los A | 02 | 29 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 50.5 |
| 5 | $\pi$ | 333 | 199 | 0.193 | 0.0 | cosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 79.9 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 1 | 00 | 0.001 | 7.7 | $\cos A$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 63.6 |
| Approach |  | 394 | 251 | 0193 | 13 | cosa | 02 | 29 | 001 | 009 | 733 |
| Nortre Blackmans Creek Rd (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 103 | cosa | 00 | 02 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.0 |
| , | 11 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.007 | ${ }^{17 \%}$ | Los: | 0.0 | 02 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 58.2 |
| 9 | 02 | 1 | 00 | 0007 | 18.5 | Los ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 02 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 50.1 |
| Apposen |  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 15.3 | Lose | 0.0 | 02 | 0.50 | 0.84 | 50.1 |
| west Gwh (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 3 | 00 | 0002 | 69 | 1054 | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.63 | 654 |
| 11 | T1 | 319 | 215 | 0188 | 00 | 108 A | 00 | 0 | 000 | 000 | 799 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 50.0 | 0.009 | 9.7 | cos A | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.46 | 0.62 | 50.1 |
| Approsch |  | 331 | 22.0 | 0.186 | 0.3 | ma | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 78.6 |
| A V Velictes |  | 800 | 26.1 | 0.602 | 64 | mA | 29 | 36.4 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 66.0 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: 102 [GWH-Jenolan Cave 2035 PM (Ultimate Local Peak)]
OWH Jenoian Cave Ro 2035 PM
Stoo (Tro Whas)


## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ site: 101 [EX-AM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road]
New ste
Gneway / Yelo (Twowny)


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [EX-PM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road]
Now ste
GWway / Yena (Two-Wa)

| Movenent Petiommence-veticles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{OL}_{0}^{00}$ | Teth |  | $5$ |  | semat | Symation wheres wh | oiturce | Premed |  | $5$ |
| South Jencian Crees Rose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Ti | 49 | 21.3 | 0029 | 00 | $\underline{\operatorname{Los}} \mathrm{A}$ | 0.0 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 500 |
| 3 R2 | 2 | 500 | 0003 | 88 | Los A | 00 | 02 | 027 | 058 | 404 |
| Appresach | 52 | 224 | 0029 | 04 | na | 00 | 02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | ${ }_{780}$ |
| Last necess Rosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 12 | 3 | 333 | 0027 | 83 | Los A | 0.1 | 16 | 029 | 0.61 | 520 |
| - P2 | 8 | 825 | 0027 | 127 | cosa | 0.1 | 16 | 029 | 061 | 456 |
| Approsch | 12 | 545 | 0027 | 115 | Los 4 | 01 | 16 | 029 | 061 | 472 |
| Nattit Jencas Cowes Raso |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 11 | 50.0 | 0.010 | 0.1 | Losa | 00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 50.4 |
| - Ti | 19 | 13. | 2010 | 00 | $\cos A$ | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 500 |
| ${ }_{\text {Appreasc }}$ | 29 | 250 | 0.810 | 29 | ma | 00 | 00 | 000 | 023 | 661 |
| as venices | 03 | 273 | 0038 | 26 | ma | 01 | 16 | 004 | 016 | 585 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [2022 AM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Roasd (Peak Day)]
Now Site
Oneway / Yela (Two-Way)


## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ site: 101 [2022 PM Jenolan Caves RdiAccess Road (Peask Day)]
Now Site / Yeld (Two.Woy)


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [2035 AM Jenolan caves ReJAccesss Road (Peak Day)]
New see
Giveway / Yole (Two-Won)


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [2035 PM Jenolan Caves Ra/Access Road (Peak Day)]
New Ste

| Moveremt Pertommace-vecicies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \%ov | ${ }^{\circ 0}$ | Towe | Comanalisy | 80\% | nexer | lesect | *WBekrown whim | Diname | Prosed | tseoties | Naxem |
| Soutit Jendan Craseras |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Ti | 67 | 219 | 0039 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 800 |
| , | R2 | 1 | 00 | 0.002 | 78 | Losa | 0.0 | 00 | 025 | 0.57 | 64. |
| Approsen |  | 68 | 21.5 | 0098 | 0. | m | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.01 | 797 |
| East access Rosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 1 | 00 | 0005 | 17 | Losa | 02 | 35 | 0.6 | 0.86 | 59. |
| 6 | R2 | 16 | 300 | 0069 | 151 | Los 8 | 02 | 35 | 0.45 | 065 | 413 |
| Approsch |  | 17 | 75.0 | 0.049 | 147 | cose | 02 | 25 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 421 |
| Nust dencan Cowes Rosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $\pi$ | 26 | 120 | 0015 | 00 | Losa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 000 | 200 |
| Approach |  | 38 | 36.1 | 0015 | 28 | na | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.19 | 30 |
| as venices |  | ${ }_{123}$ | 333 | oose | 29 | ma | 02 | 35 | 007 | 0.15 | 662 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ site: 101 [2022 AM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road (Ultimate Local Peak)]
New Ste
Onews / Yeld (Two-Won)


## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ sitte: 101 [2022 PM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road (UItimate Local Peak)]
New Ste
OWeway / Yeld (Two-Wom)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | $88$ | iveres | combt |  | Dutimet | Prom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ebchen } \\ & \text { Siphe } \end{aligned}$ | $5$ |
| Soutit Jendan Cras Rose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Tr | 55 | 21.2 | 0.032 | 00 | Los A | 00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 000 | 800 |
| $3 \quad \mathrm{R} 2$ | 1 | 00 | 0002 | 79 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 026 | 0.55 | 640 |
| Approsch | 5 | 20.8 | 0032 | 02 | na | 00 | 00 | 000 | 001 | 796 |
| Entaccen Rana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 0.070 | 76 | cos A | 03 | 53 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 59.8 |
| 6 R2 | 24 | 870 | 0070 | 14.4 | cosa | 03 | 53 | 045 | 065 | 40.6 |
| Approach | 25 | 333 | Q070 | 14.1 | Losa | 03 | 53 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 41.1 |
| Natt Jencian Cweer Rosd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad 12$ | ${ }^{22}$ | \$82 | 0.029 | 92 | cos A | $0 \cdot$ | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 418 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{T}$ | 21 | 10.0 | 0.011 | 00 | cosa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 0.00 | 80.0 |
| Approsch | 43 | 537 | 0.029 | ${ }^{4}$ | na | 00 | 00 | 000 | 032 | 545 |
| All vaictes | 124 | 44. | -070 | 48 | ma | 03 | 53 | 000 | 025 | 58. |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [2035 AM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road (Uitimate Local Peak)]
New site
Gimeway $/$ Yela (Tro. Wan)

| Movement Pertormance-vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5$ | $\%$ | reth | Demanmin | $5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lover ef } \\ & \text { Serice } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> whicket <br> wh | Butwes | Smese | Elochore | Avelo |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $T$ | 40 | 368 | 0095 | 00 | Losa | 00 | 00 | 060 | 000 | 200 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 1 | 00 | 0002 | 87 | cosa | 00 | 01 | 034 | 057 | 631 |
| Acruoch |  | 41 | 359 | 0.025 | 02 | ma | 0. | 0.1 | 0.01 | 001 | 794 |
| Exthecess Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 1 | 0. | 0.007 | 8.4 | cosa | 03 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 59.1 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 22 | 952 | 0087 | 154 | Los8 | 03 | 54 | 049 | oss | 390 |
| Ascrosech |  | 23 | 90.9 | 0067 | 15.0 | cos ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3 | 5.4 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 366 |
| Watit Jencan Comes Rosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 22 | 952 | 0029 | 92 | Losa | 00 | 00 | 000 | 063 | 41.8 |
| 8 | $\pi$ | 46 | 341 | -029 | 00 | cos A | $\therefore$ | 0 | 000 | 000 | 200 |
| aceroach |  | ss | 53.8 | 0.029 | 30 | na | 00 | 00 | 000 | 020 | 618 |
| Anvencies |  | 133 | 548 | 0067 | 42 | ma | 03 | 54 | -09 | 022 | 600 |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
$\nabla$ site: 101 [2035 PM Jenolan Caves Rd/Access Road (Ultimate Local Peak)]
Naw ste
Giveway I Yell (Two-may)


## Appendix D

Extracts of Forecast Flows from Roads and Maritime Reports

Transport and Urban Planning (2009) Traffic Study of Proposed Widening of Great Westem Highway, Bulla burra (Pages 120-121)

### 5.3 Future Traffic Volumes in Great Western Highway at Bullaburra

Traffic growth in the Great Western Highway at Bullaburra would be expected to increase by $1.9 \%-2.2 \%$ per annum between 2007 and 2032. Given the land use changes at Lawson, the higher traffic growth of $2.2 \%$ per annum is considered to better reflect the future land use changes.

The daily traffic volume and classification counts undertaken in late November / early December 2007 provided daily ( 7 day AADT) volumes in the Great Western Highway of:

- $22,552 \mathrm{vpd}$ near Kalinda Road (east)
- $22,312 \mathrm{vpd}$ east of Genevieve Road.

Adopting a linear average traffic growth rate of $2.2 \%$ per annum provides the following future traffic volume projections for the Great Western Highway for the years 2008, 2012, 2022 and 2032.

TABLE 5.1

## PROJECTED DAILY (7 DAY AADT) TRAFFIC VOLUMES GREAT WESTERN HIGHWAY AT BULLABURRA

| Year | Near Kalinda Road (east) | East of Genevieve Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 22,552 | 22,312 |
| 2008 | 23,048 | 22,803 |
| 2012 | 25,033 | 24,766 |
| 2022 | 29,994 | 29,675 |
| 2032 | 34,956 | 34,584 |

Future traffic growth in the local streets in Bullaburra that intersect with the Great Western Highway will be incremental and, based on the future increases in the population and the number of dwellings between 2006 - 2021 in the Bullaburra / Lawson area, could be expected to be up to $14 \%$ over the next $10-15$ years. The adoption of $2.2 \%$ linear growth per year for the future peak hour traffic volumes using the local roads that intersect with the Great Western Highway would provide a conservative or higher rate of traffic growth that would take into account population growth plus other changes such as an increase in the number of drivers in the area, from smaller house sizes and more younger adults.

Roads and Traffic Authority (2006) The Great Westem Highway Upgrade Lawson Section 1A Traffic, Tra nsport and Accessibility Report (page 9)

## 2. Future traffic volume projections

Traffic volumes for five year intervals between 2005 and 2030 were estimated by projection from historical data. Linear and exponential regressions were compared. The linear regression line indicated a growth rate of approximately $2.3 \%$ per annum (based on year 2002 traffic volume), which is considered reasonable for this type of road given its location with respect to major population centres and the relatively long 30 year analysis period.

Consequently, the traffic volumes shown in Table 2.1 have been predicted for the Great Western Highway upgrade between Ferguson Avenue and Bass Street.
Table 2.1 Traffic volume projections

| Year | AADT** <br> Both Directions <br> (vpd) | AADT* <br> One Direction <br> (vpd) | Peak Hourly Volume <br> One Direction <br> (vpd) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2002 | 2185 I | 10926 | 863 |
| 2005 | 23937 | 11968 | 945 |
| 2010 | 26406 | 13203 | 1043 |
| 2015 | 28875 | 14437 | 1141 |
| 2020 | 31344 | 15672 | 1238 |
| 2025 | 33813 | 16906 | 1336 |
| 2030 | 36282 | 18141 | 1433 |

** Based on a conversion rate of I.I5 axle pairs / vehicle

* Assumes 50/50 directional split

This table indicates, for example, that ten years after the proposed upgrade is complete i.e. 2020, the estimated vehicles per day using the Highway (in both directions) is approximately 31,300 or equivalent to a $31 \%$ increase in traffic compared with current (2005) traffic volumes.

GHD (2006) The Great Westem Highway Upgrade Wentworth Falls East Review of Environmental Factors Volume 1 - Main Report (pages 114-115)

### 13.2 Future traffic volume projections

Traffic volumes on the Great Western Highway for 2008, 2018, 2028 and 2038 were estimated by projection from historical data. A growth rate of approximately 556 vpd per annum (based 2002 traffic volumes) is projected, which is considered reasonable for this type of road given its location with respect to major population towns i.e. Sydney. Table 13.3 illustrates the projected weekday traffic volumes.

Table 13.3 Weekday traffic volume projections

| Year | AADT <br> Both Directions <br> $(\mathrm{vpd})$ | AADT* <br> One Direction <br> (vpd) | Peak Hourly Volume <br> One Direction <br> $(\mathrm{vpd})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 25,063 | 12,532 | 1,003 |
| $2008^{* *}$ | 26,175 | 13,088 | 1,047 |
| 2010 | 27,287 | 13,644 | 1,091 |
| 2018 | 31,735 | 15,868 | 1,269 |
| 2020 | 32,847 | 16,424 | 1,314 |
| 2028 | 37,295 | 18,648 | 1,492 |
| 2030 | 38,407 | 19,204 | 1,536 |
| 2038 | 42,855 | 21,428 | 1,714 |

*Assumes 50/50 directional spfit
"Assumed completion date.
Table 13.3 indicates that ten years after the proposed upgrade is complete i.e. 2018 , it is estimated that approximately 31,735 vehicles will be using the highway each day (in both directions) is, which is approximately $39 \%$ increase in daily traffic from 2002.

GHD (2002) Upgrade of the Great Westem Highway - Woodford to Hazelbrook Review of Environmental Factors Volume 1 - Ma in Report (pages 25-26)

### 13.2 Future Traffic Volume Projections

Traffic volumes for 2000, 2010, 2020 and 2030 were estimated by projection from historical data. Linear and exponential regressions were compared. The linear regression line indicated a growth rate of approximately $2.4 \%$ per annum or 502 vehicles per day per year (based on year 1999 traffic volume), which is considered reasonable for this type of road given its location with respect to major population towns i.e. Sydney and the relatively long 30 year analysis period.

Consequently, the traffic volumes shown in Table 13.7 have been predicted for the Woodford-Hazelbrook section of the Great Western Highway.

This table indicates, for example, that ten years after the proposed upgrade is complete i.e. 2017, the estimated number of vehicles per day using the highway (in both directions) is approximately 29,518 which is equivalent to a $35 \%$ increase in traffic volume over year 2002 volumes.

Table 13.7 Traffic Volume Projections

| Year | AADT <br> Both Directions (vpd) | AADT One Direction (vpd) | Peak Hourly Volume One Direction (vpd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 20,485 | 10,242 | 819 |
| 2000 | 20,986 | 10,493 | 839 |
| 2001 | 21,488 | 10,744 | 860 |
| 2002 | 21,990 | 10,995 | 880 |
| 2003 | 22,492 | 11,246 | 900 |
| 2004 | 22,994 | 11,497 | 920 |
| 2005 | 23,496 | 11,748 | 940 |
| 2006 | 23,998 | 11,999 | 960 |
| 2007 | 24,500 | 12,250 | 980 |
| 2008 | 25,001 | 12,501 | 1,000 |
| 2009 | 25,503 | 12,752 | 1.020 |
| 2010 | 26,005 | 13,003 | 1,040 |
| 2011 | 26,507 | 13,254 | 1,060 |
| 2012 | 27,009 | 13,504 | 1,080 |
| 2013 | 27,511 | 13,755 | 1,100 |
| 2014 | 28,013 | 14,006 | 1,121 |
| 2015 | 28,514 | 14,257 | 1,141 |
| 2016 | 29,016 | 14,508 | 1,161 |
| 2017 | 29,518 | 14,759 | 1,181 |
| 2018 | 30,020 | 15,010 | 1,201 |
| 2019 | 30,522 | 15,261 | 1,221 |
| 2020 | 31,024 | 15,512 | 1,241 |
| 2021 | 31,526 | 15,763 | 1,261 |
| 2022 | 32,028 | 16,014 | 1,281 |
| 2023 | 32,529 | 16,265 | 1,301 |
| 2024 | 33,031 | 16,516 | 1,321 |
| 2025 | 33,533 | 16,767 | 1,341 |
| 2026 | 34,035 | 17,018 | 1,361 |
| 2027 | 34,537 | 17,268 | 1,381 |
| 2028 | 35,039 | 17,519 | 1,402 |
| 2029 | 35,541 | 17,770 | 1,422 |
| 2030 | 36,043 | 18,021 | 1,442 |
| 2031 | 36.544 | 18,272 | 1,462 |
| 2032 | 37,046 | 18,523 | 1,482 |

[^1]The Transport Pla nning Pa rtnership
Suite 402 Level 4, 22 Atchison Street
St Leonards NSW 2065
P.O. Box 368

## SummerHill NSW 2130

0284377800
info@ttpp.net.au


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Traffic volumes summa rised in Appendix 4 of the Review of Great Westem Highway Upgrades West of Katoomba - Independent review, Evans \& Peck, (2012). The data was originally sourced from the Great Westem Highway Upgrade, Mount Vic toria to Lithgow Implementation Strategy, RTA Alliance, (2011).

[^1]:    * Assumes 50/50 directional split

